



UNIVERSITY *of*
TASMANIA



IMAS
INSTITUTE FOR MARINE
& ANTARCTIC STUDIES

TASMANIAN ABALONE FISHERY ASSESSMENT 2016

Craig Mundy and Hugh Jones
June 2017

Tasmanian abalone fishery assessment 2016

Craig Mundy and Hugh Jones

June 7, 2017

This assessment of the Tasmanian Abalone Fishery is produced by the Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies. The authors do not warrant that the information in this document is free from errors or omissions. The authors do not accept any form of liability, be it contractual, tortious, or otherwise, for the contents of this document or for any consequences arising from its use or any reliance placed upon it. The information, opinions and advice contained in this document may not relate, or be relevant, to a reader's particular circumstance. Opinions expressed by the authors are the individual opinions expressed by those persons and are not necessarily those of the Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies (IMAS) or the University of Tasmania (UTas).

ISBN: 978-1-86295-903-3

Enquires should be directed to: Dr Craig Mundy IMAS Fisheries and Aquaculture Centre University of Tasmania Private Bag 49 Hobart TAS 7001 Australia

Email: Craig.Mundy@utas.edu.au Ph: 03 6226 8232, +61 3 6226 8232 (international) Fax: 03 6227 8035

©Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania 2017

Copyright protects this publication. Except for purposes permitted by the Copyright Act, reproduction by whatever means is prohibited without the prior written permission of the Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies

Acknowledgements

This report is funded and prepared under the Sustainable Marine Research Collaboration Agreement (SMRCA), which is joint agreement between the Government of Tasmania and the University of Tasmania. Access to the raw fishery dependent data are provided to IMAS under this agreement by the Department of Primary Industry, Parks, Water and Environment (DPIPWE) for the purposes of assessment and research. Assistance from UTAS ITS and DPIPWE IT staff in maintaining the mirroring process and the server that hosts the duplicate database is greatly appreciated. Malcolm Haddon provided invaluable assistance with development of the Harvest Strategy and the code for CPUE standardisation.

Executive Summary

Total landings for the 2016 Tasmanian abalone fishery were 1693.5 t, comprising 1559.6 t of blacklip and 133.9 t of greenlip, from a total allowable commercial catch (TACC) of 1,694 t. This is a reduction of 161 t on the 2015 fishing year, principally from the Western Zone (123 t), and with minor reductions in the Northern Zone (35 t) and Central Western Zone (10 t). The Bass Strait Zone was increased by 7 t to 77 t. There has been an overall reduction in TACC from a medium term high of 2,660 t in 2010 to address falling stock levels.

The status of the fishery was assessed using an empirical Harvest Strategy (HS) in parallel with the Fisheries Resource Advisory Group (FRAG) weight of evidence approach, with greater reliance on the HS than in 2015. The HS used three catch rate based empirical performance measures to provide a Recommended Biological Catch (RBC). The RBC from the HS was comparable to the outcomes of the FRAG weight of evidence process. The abalone industry also provided comment on relative stock status, particularly where local knowledge or changes to market preference assists with interpretation of trends. IMAS advice in the form of an RBC is given during the final FRAG and Abalone Fishery Advisory Committee (AbFAC) meetings held in October of each year. Thus this report is a trailing document and serves to summarise the major trend in each fishery, and to document the advice provided by IMAS to the FRAG and AbFAC process for the public record.

An overview and TACC actions taken are summarised below for each fishing zone.

- **Eastern Zone** Two significant environmental events appeared to have impacted abalone populations in the Eastern Zone blacklip fishery during late 2015 and the first half of 2016. The first being the longest and most intense Marine Heat Wave (MHW) on record during the 2015/2016 austral summer (Oliver et al., in press), and the second a 1 in 100 year storm event to hit the east coast in June 2016. Several fishing blocks that had showed marked improvement in 2015, either commenced the 2016 fishing year at a low catch rate, or declined rapidly through the year. Patterns observed in the spatial performance measures strongly support the trends in catch rates of a significant reduction in abalone populations unrelated to fishing pressure. Concerns by all stakeholders over the magnitude of the MHW related effects resulted in a reduction of the Eastern Zone TACC from 528.5 t to 445.5 t for 2017.
- **Western Zone** Catch rates in the Western Zone either improved in 2016 or remained similar to 2015 with the exception of sub-block 6D. As data available show rebuilding has commenced in the Western Zone, the TACC of 717 t was maintained for 2017. A key challenge for 2017 will be to decide whether the rate of rebuilding has been sufficient to meet the industry market needs.
- **Central Western Zone** The Central Western Zone has declined rapidly in the past five years with no evidence that any of the four previous TACC reductions have been effective. Block 6 was subject to a reduced LML in 2008 and a redirection of fishing effort from the south west for several years as part of the industry experimental fishing initiative. The TACC was reduced from 42.4 to 35 t in 2017.
- **Northern Zone** Two of the three major fishing grounds in the Northern Zone, blocks 5 and 49, were also subjected to the industry experimental fishing initiative in 2008 and 2011 respectively. The subsequent decline in both catch rate and catch has caused concern, particularly as TACC

reductions in recent years appear to be ineffective. The TACC was reduced from 189 t to 148.3 for 2017.

- **Bass Strait Zone** The Bass Strait fishery is stable, with slightly increasing catch rates. The TACC was maintained at 77 t for 2017.
- **Greenlip Zone** The Greenlip Zone is relatively stable, but with signs of decline in the two western regions. The TACC was held constant at 140 t.

Contents

Acknowledgements	i
Executive Summary	ii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 History of the Fishery	1
1.3 Management Plan	1
1.4 Assessment of fishery performance and workshop review	2
1.5 Non-commercial catch	2
1.6 Abalone Biology	2
1.6.1 Reproduction and Dispersal	2
1.6.2 Movement and Diet	3
1.6.3 Growth and Mortality	3
1.7 Ecosystem Interactions	3
1.7.1 Climate change related effects	3
1.7.2 Effects of removal of abalone on habitat	4
1.7.3 Bycatch and other species interactions	4
1.7.4 Trophic effects	5
2 Methods	6
2.1 Catch and Effort Data - fisher returns	6
2.1.1 Catch and Effort Reporting requirements	6
2.1.2 Data extraction and filtering	7
2.1.3 Quality Assurance	7
2.1.4 Standardisation	7
2.1.5 Treatment of mixed species fishing data	7
2.2 Catch sampling	8
2.3 Geo-referenced fishery-dependent data	8
2.4 Harvest Strategy	9
2.4.1 Selection of Performance Measures	9
2.4.2 Performance Measure scoring functions	10
Scoring functions for CPUE Performance Measures	10
2.4.3 Performance Measure Weighting and Combined Score	12
2.4.4 Control Rule for TACC Adjustment	12
2.4.5 Spatial scale at which Harvest Strategy is applied	13
2.4.6 Phase plot summary of fishery status through time	13
3 Results	14
3.1 Eastern Zone	14
3.1.1 Fishery Trends	14
Blacklip: Block 13 - Actaeon's (Whale Head to Actaeon Island)	18
Blacklip: Block 14 - Lower Channel and South Bruny	19

Blacklip: Block 16 - Bruny Island (Boreel Head to Dennes Point)	20
Blacklip: Block 17 - Storm Bay	21
Blacklip: Block 19 - Fredrick Henry Bay	22
Blacklip: Block 20 - Storm Bay (Outer North Head to Cape Raoul)	23
Blacklip: Block 21 - Cape Raoul to Cape Pillar	24
Blacklip: Block 22 - Cape Pillar to Deep Glen Bay	25
Blacklip: Block 23 - Deep Glen Bay to Marion Bay	26
Blacklip: Block 24 - Maria Island (Marion Bay to Cape Bougainville)	27
Blacklip: Block 27 - Freycinet (South Shouten Island to Friendly Beaches)	28
Blacklip: Block 28 - Friendly Beaches to Bicheno	29
Blacklip: Block 29 - Bicheno to St Helen's Point	30
Blacklip: Block 30 - St Helen's Point to Eddystone Point	31
Blacklip: Block 31 - Eddystone Point to Cape Naturaliste	32
Minor Blocks with minimal catch	33
IMAS Summary Notes to FRAG –Eastern Zone	34
Summary Table for Blacklip Eastern Zone	35
3.2 Western Zone	36
3.2.1 Fishery Trends	36
Blacklip: Block 6D - Wild Wave River to Italian River	40
Blacklip: Block 7 - Italian River to Granville Harbour	41
Blacklip: Block 8 - Granville Harbour to Ocean Beach	42
Blacklip: Block 9 - Ocean Beach to Meerim Beach	43
Blacklip: Block 10 - Meerim Beach to Low Rocky Point	44
Blacklip: Block 11 - Low Rocky Point to Faults Bay	45
Blacklip: Block 12 - Faults Bay to Prion Beach	46
Blacklip: Block 13A and B - Prion Beach to Whale Head	47
Effect on CPUE of increasing effort on deep reefs	48
IMAS Summary Notes to FRAG –Western Zone	50
Summary table for Blacklip Western Zone	50
3.3 Central Western Zone	51
3.3.1 Fishery Trends	51
Blacklip: Block 6 - Sundown Point to Wild Wave River	55
IMAS Summary Notes to FRAG –Central Western Zone	56
Summary table for Blacklip Central Western Zone	56
3.4 Northern Zone	57
3.4.1 Fishery Trends	57
Blacklip: Block 31B - Cape Naturaliste to Little Musselroe Bay	61
Blacklip: Block 39 - Little Musselrose Bay to Tomahawk Beach	62
Blacklip: Block 48 - Kingston Point to Woolnorth	63
Blacklip: Block 49 - Hunter Island, Albatross Island	64
Blacklip: Block 5A-C - Woolnorth to Arthur River	65
Blacklip: Block 1 - Cape Wickham to KI airport	66
Blacklip: Block 2 - Cape Wickham to Sea Elephant Bay	67
Blacklip: Block 3 - KI airport to Middle Point	68
Blacklip: Block 4 - Middle Point to Sea Elephant Bay	69
IMAS Summary Notes to FRAG –Northern Zone	70
Summary table for Blacklip Northern Zone	70
3.5 Bass Strait Zone	71
3.5.1 Fishery Trends	71
Blacklip: Block 32 - Western Clarke Island and Armstrong Passage	75
Blacklip: Block 33 - SE Clarke Island and Cape Barren Islands	76
Blacklip: Block 37 - NW Flinders Island	77
Blacklip: Block 38 - NE Flinders Island inc. Babel Island	78

Blacklip: Block 41 - Waterhouse Beach to Fanny's Bay	79
Blacklip: Block 43 - Three Mile Bluff to Northdown Beach	80
Blacklip: Block 45 - Heybridge to Chambers Bay	81
Blacklip: Block 51 - Kent Group	82
Blacklip: Block 53 - Hogan Group	83
Blacklip: Block 54 - East Moncoeur Island	84
IMAS Summary Notes to FRAG –Bass Strait Zone	85
Summary table for Blacklip Bass Strait Zone	86
3.6 Greenlip Zone	87
3.6.1 Fishery Trends	87
Greenlip: Block 1 - Cape Wickham to KI airport	91
Greenlip: Block 2 - Cape Wickham to Sea Elephant Bay	92
Greenlip: Block 3 - KI airport to Middle Point	93
Greenlip: Block 4 - Middle Point to Sea Elephant Bay	94
Greenlip: Block 5 - Woolnorth to Arthur River	95
Greenlip: Block 48 - Kingston Point to Woolnorth inc. Perkins Bay	96
Greenlip: Block 49 - Hunter Island, Albatross Island	97
Greenlip: Block 31 - Cape Naturaliste to Eddystone Point	98
Greenlip: Block 39 - Little Musselrose Bay to Tomahawk Beach	99
Greenlip: Block 32 - Western Clarke Island and Armstrong Passage	100
Greenlip: Block 33 - SE Clarke Island and Cape Barren Islands	101
Greenlip: Block 34 - SW Flinders Island	102
Greenlip: Block 35 - Franklin Sound	103
Greenlip: Block 36 - SE Flinders Island	104
Greenlip: Block 37 - NW Flinders Island	105
Greenlip: Block 38 - NE Flinders Island inc. Babel Island	106
IMAS Summary Notes to FRAG –Greenlip Zone	107
Summary of Greenlip by Region	108
4 Discussion	109
4.1 Future developments	109
Appendices	114
A Interpreting trends in catch and catch rate, and the size composition of the commercial catch	114
A.1 The use of catch and catch rates to infer changes in abundance	114
A.2 Change in fishing efficiency	115
B Early abalone production 1960-1981	118
C Annual Catches by Zone – 1975 to 2016	119
D History of Management Changes	129
E Maps of Reporting Blocks	139
F Commercial size limits for blacklip and greenlip abalone, 2016	145

List of Tables

2.1	Harvest Strategy performance measures and weights for this assessment.	12
2.2	Control rule applied to combined performance management score	13
3.1	Eastern Zone summary data, Harvest Strategy outcomes and projected TACC for 2017 provided at FRAG 4, 2016.	35
3.2	Western Zone summary data, Harvest Strategy outcomes and projected TACC for 2017 provided at FRAG 4, 2016.	50
3.3	Central Western Zone summary data, Harvest Strategy outcomes and projected TACC for 2017 provided at FRAG 4, 2016.	56
3.4	Northern Zone summary data, Harvest Strategy outcomes and projected TACC for 2017 provided at FRAG 4, 2016.	70
3.5	Bass Strait Zone summary data, Harvest Strategy outcomes and projected TACC for 2017 provided at FRAG 4, 2016.	86
3.6	Greenlip Zone summary data, Harvest Strategy outcomes and projected TACC for 2017 provided at FRAG 4, 2016. BSI = Bass Strait Islands, CN = Central North, FG = Furneaux Group, KI = King Island, NE = North East, NW = North West (excl. PB), PB = Perkins Bay	108
C.1	Annual tonnages of blacklip abalone caught within the statistical blocks and sub-blocks comprising the Eastern Zone in 2015 (sub-blocks 13C, 13D, 13E, Blocks 14 to 30 and sub-block 31A). Pre-zoning catches cannot be accurately assigned to zones in blocks where zone boundaries occur (Blocks 13 and 31). Catches in those blocks are reported as Eastern Zone because the majority of later catches occurred there. Any discrepancies between totals and sums of component blocks are due to rounding.	120
C.1	Eastern Zone continued	121
C.2	Annual tonnages of blacklip abalone caught within the statistical blocks and sub-blocks comprising the Western Zone in 2015 (Blocks 9 to 12, Sub-blocks 13A, 13B). Pre-zoning (1975-1999) catches from Block 13 are reported in the Eastern Zone. Any discrepancies between totals and sums of components are due to rounding.	122
C.3	Annual tonnages of blacklip abalone caught within the statistical blocks and sub-blocks comprising the Central Western Zone (Sub-block 6A, 6B, 6C) in 2015. Catches from Block 5 prior to 2001 are reported in the Northern Zone, catches from sub-block 6D from 2000 are reported in the Western Zone. Previous reports listed catch from sub-block 5D. These catches are actually from sub-block 6A and have been re-coded as 6A for this assessment. Any discrepancies between totals and sums of components are due to rounding.	123
C.4	Annual tonnages of blacklip abalone caught within the statistical blocks and sub-blocks comprising the Northern Zone in 2015 (Blocks 1 to 4, Sub-blocks 5A, 5B, 5C, 31B, Blocks 39 to 40 and Blocks 47 to 49). There are no records for the Northern Zone part of Block 31 prior to the creation of the zone in 2001. Any discrepancies between totals and sums of components are due to rounding	124

C.5 Annual tonnages of blacklip abalone caught within statistical blocks comprising the Bass Strait Zone in 2015 (Blocks 32-38, 41-46, 50-57). The fishery was temporarily closed in 2007. Any discrepancies between totals and sums of components are due to rounding.	125
C.5 Bass Strait Zone continued	126
C.6 Annual tonnages of greenlip abalone caught from the Greenlip fishery. Greenlip are taken from northern Tasmanian waters, and the fishery is managed by species. Occasionally, small amounts of catch (< 1 t) are taken from Blocks 50-57. Any discrepancies between totals and sums of components are due to rounding.	127
C.6 Greenlip Zone continued	128

List of Figures

2.1	Illustration of the translation of an observed CPUE relative to a defined Target CPUE into an MCDA score. Two instances are shown, the $CE_{b,T}$ are 80 and 120 Kg/Hr and the ΔCE are 40 and 60 Kg/Hr.	11
2.2	Illustration of the translation of CPUE gradient across a four year period into a score. .	12
3.1	Zone-wide catch and catch rate for Eastern Zone blacklip abalone, 1992–2016. Upper plot: catch (t) by quarter pooled across blocks currently classified as Eastern Zone. Lower Plot: standardised CPUE (black line) and geometric mean CPUE (red line). . .	15
3.2	Bubble plot of harvest strategy combined score (bubble colour) and catch (bubble size) for Eastern Zone blacklip abalone.	16
3.3	Phase plot of fishing mortality and biomass proxies for Eastern Zone blacklip abalone proxy, 1996–2016. The Gradient 4 PM (y-axis) is used as a proxy for fishing mortality, and the Target CPUE PM is used as a proxy for biomass. Zone score is calculated as a catch-weighted mean of individual block scores.	17
3.20	Zone-wide catch and catch rate for Western Zone blacklip abalone, 1992–2016. Upper plot: catch (t) by quarter pooled across blocks currently classified as Western Zone. Lower Plot: standardised CPUE (black line) and geometric mean CPUE (red line). . .	37
3.21	Bubble plot of harvest strategy combined score (bubble colour) and catch (bubble size) for Western Zone blacklip abalone. Block 6 catch prior to 2000 included in Central Western Zone and Block 13 included in Eastern Zone	38
3.22	Phase plot of fishing mortality and biomass proxies for Western Zone blacklip abalone proxy, 1996–2016. The Gradient 4 PM (y-axis) is used as a proxy for fishing mortality, and the Target CPUE PM is used as a proxy for biomass. Zone score is calculated as a catch-weighted mean of individual block scores.	39
3.31	Fishing effort in Block 12 across five depth bands: a) Total effort in each depth band, pooled across dives; b) effort in each depth band as a percentage of total annual effort. .	48
3.32	CPUE Kg/Hr in Block 12 across five depth bands. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means with 95% confidence intervals. Solid black line indicates CPUE across all depths pooled. Coloured dashed lines represent CPUE in each depth band. .	48
3.33	Fishing effort in Block 13 across five depth bands: a) Total effort in each depth band, pooled across dives; b) effort in each depth band as a percentage of total annual effort. .	49
3.34	CPUE Kg/Hr in Block 13 across five depth bands. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means with 95% confidence intervals. Solid black line indicates CPUE across all depths pooled. Coloured dashed lines represent CPUE in each depth band. .	49
3.35	Zone-wide catch and catch rate for Central Western Zone blacklip abalone, 1992–2016. Upper plot: catch (t) by quarter pooled across blocks currently classified as Central Western Zone. Lower Plot: standardised CPUE (black line) and geometric mean CPUE (red line).	52
3.36	Bubble plot of harvest strategy combined score (bubble colour) and catch (bubble size) for Central Western Zone blacklip abalone.	53

3.37	Phase plot of fishing mortality and biomass proxies for Central Western Zone blacklip abalone proxy, 1996–2016. The Gradient 4 PM (y-axis) is used as a proxy for fishing mortality, and the Target CPUE PM is used as a proxy for biomass. Zone score is calculated as a catch-weighted mean of individual block scores.	54
3.39	Zone-wide catch and catch rate for Northern Zone blacklip abalone, 1992–2016. Upper plot: catch (t) by quarter pooled across blocks currently classified as Northern Zone. Lower Plot: standardised CPUE (black line) and geometric mean CPUE (red line). . .	58
3.40	Bubble plot of harvest strategy combined score (bubble colour) and catch (bubble size) for Northern Zone blacklip abalone. Block 31 catch prior to 2000 included in Eastern Zone	59
3.41	Phase plot of fishing mortality and biomass proxies for Northern Zone blacklip abalone proxy, 1996–2016. The Gradient 4 PM (y-axis) is used as a proxy for fishing mortality, and the Target CPUE PM is used as a proxy for biomass. Zone score is calculated as a catch-weighted mean of individual block scores.	60
3.51	Zone-wide catch and catch rate for Bass Strait Zone blacklip abalone, 1992–2016. Upper plot: catch (t) by quarter pooled across blocks currently classified as Bass Strait Zone. Lower Plot: standardised CPUE (black line) and geometric mean CPUE (red line).	72
3.52	Bubble plot of harvest strategy combined score (bubble colour) and catch (bubble size) for Bass Strait Zone blacklip abalone.	73
3.53	Phase plot of fishing mortality and biomass proxies for Bass Strait Zone blacklip abalone proxy, 1996–2016. The Gradient 4 PM (y-axis) is used as a proxy for fishing mortality, and the Target CPUE PM is used as a proxy for biomass. Zone score is calculated as a catch-weighted mean of individual block scores.	74
3.64	Zone-wide catch and catch rate for greenlip abalone, 1992–2016. Upper plot: catch (t) by quarter pooled across blocks. Lower Plot: standardised CPUE (black line) and geometric mean CPUE (red line).	88
3.65	Bubble plot of harvest strategy combined score (bubble colour) and catch (bubble size) for greenlip abalone.	89
3.66	Phase plot of fishing mortality and biomass proxies for greenlip abalone, 1996–2016. The Gradient 4 PM (y-axis) is used as a proxy for fishing mortality, and the Target CPUE PM is used as a proxy for biomass. Zone score is calculated as a catch-weighted mean of individual regional scores.	90
A.1	Comparison between catch rates derived from catches by dive teams (“Team cpue”) and by single divers (“Single cpue”), showing the percentage of the total catch taken by dive teams, from Block 13 (Eastern Zone), between 2000 and 2014. “Unspec.cpue” refers to catch rates where the number of divers could not be determined, which ranged 0-6% pa during the period.	116
A.2	Relative CPUE indices for Block 13, 1975-2000. Model 1 is the raw geometric mean of CPUE. The three effort creep scenarios considered are: (i) 2% per annum; (ii) 5% per annum; and (iii) 10% per annum. All values of CPUE are relative to 1975 (Tarbath et al., 2001)	117
E.1	Map of King Island blocks	139
E.2	Map of North West blocks	140
E.3	Map of Central West Coast (north) blocks	140
E.4	Map of Central West Coast (south) blocks	141
E.5	Map of South West blocks	141
E.6	Map of South East Tasmania blocks	142
E.7	Map of Lower East Coast blocks	142
E.8	Map of Upper East Coast blocks	143
E.9	Map of North East blocks	143
E.10	Map of Furneaux Group blocks	144
E.11	Map of Bass Strait Island blocks	144

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Overview

An assessment of the Tasmanian Abalone fisheries is required annually under the Tasmanian Abalone Deed of Agreement. This fishery assessment document contains a summary of the available data from 1992 to 2016. This report also provides a chronology of management changes over the history of the commercial fishery Appendix D. The electronic fisheries data logger program generates significant volumes of data than can be reproduced in this report. The spatial indicators provided here are those considered to be most useful at this stage in the development of new data technologies.

1.2 History of the Fishery

Commercial fishing for abalone in Tasmanian waters commenced in the late 1950s with annual catches in the order of 2000 t being landed by the mid 1960s. The fishery has predominantly focused on black-lip abalone (*Haliotis rubra*), with greenlip abalone (*H. laevigata*) typically accounting for around 5% of the total wild harvest in Tasmania. Digitised or hard copies of regulations and catch returns are not available for the fishery prior to 1975, and complete digital records exist from 1975 onwards. Between 1975 and 1984 abalone catches were reported by the skipper of the fishing vessel as estimated weights on a monthly basis. Between 1985 and 1992, catches were reported as landed weights per landing by the diver, again on monthly returns. Estimated weights by block are unavailable for this period, because catches taken from several blocks in one trip may be reported as caught from only one of those blocks. Since 1992, abalone fishers were required to complete and submit daily reports of the landed weight and estimated catch weight in each block fished. The sum of estimated weights by zone is usually within 3% of the sum of landed weights by zone, but between 1992 and 1995 was up to 10% less.

1.3 Management Plan

The Tasmanian Abalone Management Plan and Policy document is under currently under review. An [operational document](#) for the fishery is published prior to the commencement of each fishing year, detailing size limits, quota for each zone, spatial management arrangements and any other operational rules that govern the commercial harvest of abalone in Tasmania.

1.4 Assessment of fishery performance and workshop review

A review of fishery performance is undertaken quarterly by the Fisheries Resource Advisory Group (FRAG) using data from 1992 onwards (see section 2.4 for more detail). The FRAG is an industry forum, held in workshop format with the core members comprising the Tasmanian Abalone Council board, research staff (IMAS, CSIRO) and managers from the Department of Primary Industry, Parks, Water and Environment (DPIPWE). Other members of the Tasmanian abalone fishing industry may also attend as observers, and on occasions input is invited from experienced fishers with extensive knowledge of in specific parts of the fishery. The summary information provided by IMAS is considered by the FRAG when arriving at an Industry view of the Total Allowable Commercial Catch (TACC) and recommendations for the coming year to the Fishery Advisory Committee (FAC), and to the Tasmanian Abalone Council Limited (TACL) Annual General Meeting. The FRAG recommendations do not however negate the IMAS view, although preferably the IMAS and FRAG view will have a high degree of commonality. In most cases, the FAC and the TACL AGM endorse the FRAG recommendations, which in turn are usually adopted by the Minister for Primary Industries.

The Tasmanian abalone fishery operates on a calendar fishing year, with the final FRAG meeting taking place in late September/October. The FRAG considers early and recent trends in each reporting Block, including partial year (year-to-date) data for the current fishing year. Detailed catch and effort summaries are presented to the FRAG by IMAS. Since 2015, an empirical Harvest Strategy is used to develop a Recommended Biological Catch (RBC) as a starting point for discussion on recommended catch at the statutory fishing block level.

1.5 Non-commercial catch

A survey of recreational abalone fishing is conducted by IMAS biannually. The most recent survey of recreational abalone fishing catch was undertaken for the 2014/2015 season and estimated that 36 t of blacklip and greenlip abalone, or 1.9% of the total Tasmanian abalone catch, was taken by recreational fishers (Lyle and Tracey, 2016). The number of recreational abalone diving licenses issued by DPIPWE for the year ended 2015 was 12,100. This catch is slightly more (4 t) than reported from the previous survey (2012/2013), but is less than 50% of the peak catch reported in 2002-2003.

Abalone are caught in Tasmanian waters as part of cultural fishing activities by indigenous people. This catch is not quantified but is believed to be negligible. Catch is also taken under permits for special events and research purposes. In 2016, the catch under permit totalled less than 5 t. Illegal fishing is known to occur but no estimates of this catch are available.

1.6 Abalone Biology

1.6.1 Reproduction and Dispersal

The commercially harvested abalone species in Tasmanian (blacklip abalone *Haliotis rubra* and greenlip abalone *H. laevisgata*) are dioecious broadcast spawners with complex reproductive patterns, at least in Tasmania. Gravid animals can be found year round, with little strong evidence of a peak reproductive season. Larvae are lecithotrophic and while considered to be pelagic, the embryos are negatively buoyant for the first 24 hours before. The larval phase of *H. rubra* is relatively short (5 to 15 days), and dependent on water temperature (McShane, 1992). Manipulative field experiments with blacklip abalone suggested that local recruitment was highly dependent (Prince et al., 1987) on local abundance. Molecular studies were able to confirm that localised recruitment (approximately

100m) in blacklip abalone was a consequence of limited larval dispersal (Temby et al., 2007; Miller et al., 2009). These field and molecular studies are both suggestive of potential for a strong local stock/recruitment relationship, yet this has never been established as a general phenomena. Greenlip abalone in Tasmania generally occur in more simple habitats, with low profile reef and seagrass, and are commonly found on the reef/sand edge in areas with high tidal flows. Molecular studies of connectivity for greenlip abalone (Miller et al., 2014) suggest that connectivity among adjacent populations is also limited, but population structure is two orders of magnitude larger than found for blacklip abalone. Miller et al. (2014) confirmed the distribution and connectivity of this species does conform to a meta-population structure with populations linked over tens of Kilometres.

1.6.2 Movement and Diet

Movement of adult blacklip and greenlip abalone is limited, with most animals resident within small sections of reef (10's of metres) for months or years. Movements of individuals do occur over small spatial and temporal scales, but do not result in emigration from sites. Both species tend to remain on a recognisable home sites or 'scar', and feed predominantly on drift algae, but may also consume attached kelp (C. Mundy, personal observation). Both Tasmanian abalone species are known to consume algae from red, green and brown groups, though dietary preference and availability have been poorly studied in Tasmania. Guest et al. (2008) used isotope and fatty acid signatures to examine dietary habits of blacklip abalone on both East and West coasts of Tasmania, and found that brown algae formed the major portion of abalone diet, but that bacteria and diatoms are also feature in abalone diets, most likely from break down of detritus/drift algae.

1.6.3 Growth and Mortality

Research on individual growth of both blacklip and greenlip abalone has been conducted for more than three decades, yet robust descriptions of growth remain elusive. The two primary methods utilised were ageing by shell rings and growth increments by tag-recapture. Growth rings in the shell spire have been largely discarded as imprecise and the assumption of that growth rings are laid annually has not been well substantiated. Whereas growth increments based on recaptures after 12 month appear to routinely underestimate growth, and, recaptures are typically low and often too low to make meaningful conclusions about growth (Haddon et al., 2008; Helidoniotis and Haddon, 2013, 2012). Within Tasmania there is some evidence that the shape of the growth curve changes with latitude (Haddon et al., 2008), further complicating our understanding of growth and the calculations that depend on an accurate model of abalone growth. Where adequate data sets are available, the inverse logistic growth model (Haddon et al., 2008) appears to be most appropriate for describing abalone growth.

1.7 Ecosystem Interactions

1.7.1 Climate change related effects

There have been clear changes in subtidal reef habitats on the East coast of Tasmania over the past four decades, with the southward retreat of *Macrocystis pyrifera* beds and the southward expansion of *Centrostephanus rodgersii* two easily observed examples. The majority of destructive grazing by *C. rodgersii* is deeper than the typical depth range exploited by abalone fishers (Johnson et al., 2011), but there are localised direct impacts on abalone populations in sheltered reef systems north of Maria Island. Destructive grazing of *C. rodgersii* in the Kent Group (north of Flinders Island) has been tracked over the past 30 years, and pre-dated the expansion of this species on the mainland coast of Tasmania (Johnson et al., 2005).

The frequency and intensity of Marine Heat Waves (MHW) has recently been documented for Tasmania's east coast (Oliver et al., 2017). The East coast has experienced several minor and two major marine heat wave events over the past two decades (2009/2010 and 2015/2016). These MHW's to result in low level mortality of wild abalone in late summer, over most of the Tasmanian East coast (Bicheno south to the Actaeon region), although the magnitude of the extent of the MHWs on exploitable biomass remains unquantified. No mortalities were observed on the West or North coasts. There is possibly a third minor and localised event in the summer of 2000/2001, although no reports of mortalities of wild abalone were recorded from that period. There is no research and no understanding as to whether these changes have also affected coastal productivity in a way that might effect abalone population dynamics. In contrast to the East Coast, there are no reports from abalone fishers of dramatic ecosystem changes on the north, south or west coasts or from King and Flinders Islands.

1.7.2 Effects of removal of abalone on habitat

Associated with harvesting of abalone stocks, there have been persistent reports from divers of changes to reef habitat. These changes appeared to follow extensive depletion of abalone populations by fishing, suggesting a level of interdependency between abalone and habitat. Perceived changes include a reduction in coverage of crustose coralline algae and its subsequent replacement by sediment, other encrusting organisms and algae, and potential flow on effects to juvenile abalone recruitment (McShane and Smith, 1991; Shepherd and Turner, 1985). Globally, over-harvesting of herbivores is recognised as one of the main factors contributing to changes in marine systems (Burkepile and Hay, 2006). However, it appears unlikely that this broad concept applies to abalone fisheries. A review of ecological impacts of fishing found little evidence of ecological impacts of harvesting abalone (Jenkins, 2004), and concluded that abalone harvesting was relatively 'benign'. A field study exploring links between abalone abundance, fishing pressure and key habitat characteristics Valentine et al. (2010) found little evidence to support the assertion or perception that removal of abalone from subtidal reefs leads to environmental change. Manipulation of abalone densities also found little evidence to suggest direct effects of abalone on local benthic communities (Strain and Johnson, 2010), other than on encrusted red algae (ERA) (Strain and Johnson, 2012). An investigation of the degree of association between abalone and ERA (*Hildenbrandia spp* and *Peysonellia spp*) found that abalone were more frequently associated with these species than expected by chance, but it was not clear whether these species recruit to the substrate beneath abalone home-sites, or whether abalone choose home-sites where there these species are present (Valentine and Mundy unpublished data).

Since the mid 1980's Tasmanian abalone fishing vessels have fished live (i.e. not anchored), such that anchor damage is negligible in this fishery.

1.7.3 Bycatch and other species interactions

There is no bycatch associated with this fishery. All abalone are hand-harvested by divers operating on low pressure surface supply (hookah). The small vessel size used by most abalone fishers, and the shallow water and proximity to the exposed coast also limits negative impacts on other mobile fauna.

A poorly understood aspect of abalone fishing on ecosystems is whether there is a potential for a competitive release effect on other coexisting grazing species. Anecdotal information from abalone fishers in other states suggests significant depletion of abalone populations allows more rapid expansion and dominance by the long-spined sea urchin *Centrostephanus rodgersii*. Experimental manipulations of interactions between *H. rubra* and *C. rodgersii* are not conclusive (Strain and Johnson, 2009), and limited by the artificial environment in which the experiments were conducted.

1.7.4 Trophic effects

There are few significant predators of emergent *Haliotis rubra* in Tasmania, and most predation events are opportunistic or target weakened individuals. Reduced grazing pressure by removal of significant biomass of this macro-invertebrate grazer is unlikely to lead to habitat change (Valentine et al., 2010), as there is an oversupply of kelps across the fishery, which is subject to seasonal storms generating drift algae. Much of the food consumed by abalone is likely to be drift algae rather than attached growing plants.

Chapter 2

Methods

2.1 Catch and Effort Data - fisher returns

This assessment relies wholly on fishery performance derived from fishery-dependent data. A research program has been in place at the IMAS Taroona laboratories to collect biological data on growth rate and reproductive maturation for several decades. There is however no strategic collection of fishery-independent abundance data or a time-series of fishery independent data on population size structure. The system for capturing Catch and Effort returns from fishers, and the requirements for reporting have changed since the inception of the fishery. Some of the original data is no longer available, and the working time series commences in 1974. From 1974 to 1991, the data are contained in archived extracts in electronic form from an original reporting system. Noting that computer systems and databases were not available in the 1970's and were unlikely to be mainstream in Government departments and agencies until at least the mid 1980's. A new production database was implemented in 1992, with an entirely new relational database structure. The 1992 production database was replaced in 1997, with minor structural changes. A further complication arises in 2000 with the introduction of defined management zones (Eastern Blacklip Zone, Western Blacklip Zone, and Greenlip Zone) each with their own TACC, and with the introduction of finer scale reporting sub-blocks. Further spatial partitioning of the blacklip fishery occurred in 2001 (Northern Blacklip Zone), 2003 (Bass Strait Blacklip Zone), 2009 and 2013 (Central Western Blacklip Zone). Several of the Zone boundaries were created at sub-block boundaries rather than block boundaries, which creates challenges for linking historic block level trends to current sub-blocks which are now split by zones.

2.1.1 Catch and Effort Reporting requirements

In the Tasmanian Abalone Fishery catch, effort and location are reported daily. As database structures are upgraded and operational rules are modified over time, this triggers changes in the data, including frequency of returns, whether the diver or the skipper was required to submit the returns, and the spatial scale of reporting. Since 1992 the diver has been required to submit catch dockets for every fishing day within a short mandatory return period, usually 48 hours. Up to and including 2000 catch and effort was reported by Block with 57 reporting blocks encompassing the coast of mainland Tasmania and offshore islands, and from 2001 the majority of reporting Blocks were split into between two and five sub-blocks. Currently, fishers are required to report estimated weight of catch and effort in each sub-block for each day of fishing, with a hard copy of the docket submitted within 48 hours.

2.1.2 Data extraction and filtering

A mirror of the DPIPWE Oracle catch and effort database is maintained by IMAS, inside its secure server farm. The mirror contains historic tables for data between 1985 and 1992, a static schema containing data from 1992 to 1997, and a dynamic schema containing data post 1997, with updates provided weekly. The catch, effort, vessel and fisher identity details are extracted via three views created in the IMAS mirror, and maintained by IMAS. Data are retrieved from the IMAS Oracle catch and effort database views via R statistical software (R Core-Team, 2016) using the RODBC package (Ripley and Lapsley, 2015) for direct connection to databases. All filtering, error traps and subsequent analysis of the fishery-dependent data are undertaken within R. The first stage automates data extraction, filtering and removal of erroneous records, identification of doubling up (team dive) events, and preparation of mixed species effort values resulting in a working data set. The second data analysis stage, prepares data for and runs the CPUE standardisation, executes the empirical Harvest Strategy and produces a range of summary plots for the Abalone Fisheries Resource Advisory group meetings and this Assessment document.

2.1.3 Quality Assurance

The catch and effort database contains a very small proportion of detectable erroneous records. The nature of the detectable errors are incorrect effort or catch values leading to impossible catch rates (e.g. > 500 Kg/Hr; 3046 records), no effort (539 records), and complete duplication of records (264 records). A significant number of records are not usable (equivalent to approximately 200 tonnes) from 1992 and 1993 as the estimated weight field is null, even though there were hours and landed weights recorded. The majority of the records where estimated weight is null may be usable, but requires further validation and filtering procedures to be developed.

2.1.4 Standardisation

Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE: Kg/Hr) were standardised prior to use in the Harvest Strategy. Standardisation was completed using the CPUEutils R package (Haddon unpublished) with the following base model;

CPUE = Year + Diver + Month + DoubleUps

All four variables are categorical. The Doubleups variable identifies fishing events where two divers fish from same boat, usually on hookah with a T-piece. Geometric mean CPUE presented are always bias-corrected (BC), and standardised means are always displayed with 95% confidence limit error bars.

2.1.5 Treatment of mixed species fishing data

Mixed species (blacklip and greenlip) fishing occurs across a number of reporting blocks, but accounts for relatively little of the overall blacklip catch. Divers primarily target one species and take the other as a by-catch, but there may occasions where one species is targeted in the morning and the other targeted in the afternoon. Calculation of catch per unit effort (CPUE) is non-trivial when mixed species fishing occurs as several permutations of fishing practices are confounded with permutations in the way catch is reported.

For this assessment, the following adjustments were made to the effort component for calculating CPUE when mixed species fishing occurred (greenlip and blacklip abalone are landed on the same day by one diver);

- Where the bycatch species accounts for less than 25% of the daily total catch, all effort is applied to the primary species, and that record is excluded from the CPUE calculations for the bycatch species.
- Where the bycatch is greater than 25% of the daily total catch, records are included for both target and bycatch species and effort is apportioned pro-rata by ratio of species catch to total catch.
- A new continuous variable containing the proportion of daily catch is added to the CPUE standardisation for both species.
- All records are retained for catch totals.

2.2 Catch sampling

Commercial catch sampling was patchy up to 1998 with no data collection occurring within large blocks of years. From 1998, a trial photographic catch sampling program was implemented, with divers submitting photographic samples of their catches with details of the location from where the catch was taken. The photographic program was terminated in 2000 due to inconsistencies in the photographs and a declining participation.

Between 2000 and 2008, diver's catches from around the State were routinely sampled by IMAS research staff at fish processing factories. Most samples were obtained from catches landed from the south east and east coasts. Catches from the north and west coasts have also been sampled, at a lower frequency.

Since 2008, market measuring has been undertaken by several of the major processors who together process over 50% of the catch. Processor staff measure samples of 100 abalone from catches using electronic measuring boards. The length measurements and catch docket numbers are returned to IMAS, where the length data is collated by sub-block. This program is under review, largely due to the challenges of identifying source locations of abalone landed within multi-day, multi-diver, multi-block fishing trips to the west coast and northern remote islands.

A review is under way of the commercial catch length-frequency data set, and are excluded from this assessment pending the completion of the review.

2.3 Geo-referenced fishery-dependent data

Fine spatial scale information has been routinely obtained from fishers in the Tasmanian Abalone Fishery since 2012. This was achieved by issuing all commercial abalone divers with a robust vessel GPS receiver/data logger unit with internal Lithium Ion battery, encased in an IP65 housing, and an submersible depth/temperature logger. The position data loggers were pre-set to record standard National Marine Electronics Association (NMEA) strings (RMC, GSA) at 10 second intervals to a standard SD memory card. An important component of monitoring activity of a dive fishery is the depth profile at which fishing occurs. The depth data loggers (Sensus Ultra, Reefnet Inc), also pre-set to record at 10 second intervals, were attached to each fishers weight vest. The depth loggers commence logging when pressure exceeds a pre-set pressure threshold, typically equivalent to 0.5m depth (1111 mBar), and cease logging when pressure drops below that threshold, providing an automated system for determining when fishing is taking place.

At three monthly intervals the GPS and dive loggers, are replaced to enable data retrieval. The separate position and depth data streams are merged on the date/time stamp, and position data are filtered so that only position information is used where fishers are diving. This provides a data stream of date/time, position, depth and temperature at 10 sec intervals for the duration of every dive. The

position and depth data streams are merged on the date/time stamp, and position data are filtered to exclude non-diving position data. The data are archived in a SQL Server database utilising Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC) compliant geometry data types to store the raw position data as spatial points. Details of the dataloggers, database and analytical methods applied to the spatial dataset are described in detail by [Mundy \(2012\)](#).

In this assessment three spatial indicators –Kilograms/Hectare (Kg/Ha), Maximum dive distance (Max Distance), and metres of reef fished/Hour (Lm/Hr) are presented for the five-year period 2012–2016. All three spatial indicators are derived from the spatial dive data, with the dive area obtained from the area of the bivariate Kernel Utilisation Distributions (KUD) 90% isopleth. The distance measure used in the Max Distance and Lm/Hr spatial indicators are derived from the maximum distance between any pair of xy points within a given dive ([Mundy, 2012](#)). These three indicators provide alternative information about fishing performance, and combined with CPUE (Kg/Hr), provide all possible combinations of weight, time and area (weight/time, weight/area, and area/time).

Effort is summarised by 5m depth bands (0 -5, 5 -10, 10 -15, 15 - 20, > 20) in order to assess changes in the proportion of fishing effort across the normal depth range. This is achieved by summing the number of points in the raw XY data series within each depth band, pooling across divers. The recording frequency of the dept hand GPS data loggers is 10 seconds, thus six points equates to one minute of fishing effort. Catch rate (CPUE Kg/Hr) is also calculated for each depth band, using the average dive depth from the KUD 90% isopleth. Summary figures are only presented for area where there has been substantial change over the five year data period (2012 - 2016).

2.4 Harvest Strategy

An Empirical Harvest Strategy (HS) has been developed for the Tasmanian Abalone Fishery with the primary inputs being fishery-dependent catch rate data. This eHS is based on a Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (MCDA) framework, in the form of a simple weighted-sum approach. The HS has been reviewed ([Buxton et al., 2015](#)), and subjected to testing via Management Strategy Evaluation [Haddon and Mundy \(2016\)](#). This HS identifies aspirational targets for the fishery and attempts to manage the fishery towards that target. The HS is conditioned and run at the scale of individual reporting Blocks to arrive at a combined score, followed by a Control Rule to assign a recommended management action based on the combined score.

2.4.1 Selection of Performance Measures

Over the past decade annual reviews of abalone fishery performance was through an expert driven, weight of evidence approach, considering magnitude of catch rates, trends in catch rates and spatial structure in the distribution of effort. The HS formalises the previously subjective process, by developing Reference Points (RP) for three Catch per Unit Effort (CPUE) performance measures (PM) previously evaluated in graphical form. In this assessment, the three performance measures used were:

1. Target CPUE – the current CPUE scored against a target CPUE defined by Block
2. Gradient1 - gradient of change in CPUE in the past 12 months (current year over the previous one year).
3. Gradient4 - gradient of change in CPUE over the past four years including year to date.

2.4.2 Performance Measure scoring functions

The scoring functions incorporate targets and limits that are analogous to classical target and limit reference points. A scoring function is established for each PM, with the value of the PM (e.g. Target CPUE) scoring between 0 and 10. For all PMs the target is always a score of 5, with 0 implying the worst under-performance and 10 the highest over-performance. The dataset for determining the Targets and limits for the scoring functions was restricted to catch and effort data between 1992 and the current year (2016). Prior to 1992 there were substantial differences in the reporting and return of catch dockets, such that the recorded daily effort prior to 1992 is not considered sufficient for assessment purposes (see section 2.1.1).

For the 2015 Tasmanian Abalone Fishery Assessment the upper and lower limits for the CPUE Target scoring function was set at $\pm 45\%$ of the CPUE target value where the target was less than 90 Kg/Hr, and $\pm 55\%$ where the target CPUE was above 90 Kg/Hr, and the upper and lower limits of the Gradient 1 and Gradient 4 PM's were set at ± 0.4 . Investigations of these one-size-fits-all global parameters indicated that one or more of the PMs were ineffectual in some blocks where the range of the PM over the history of the fishery was much smaller than the global settings, and/or the rate of improvement was substantially different from the rate of decline. For this assessment (2016) the upper and lower scoring function limits for all three PMs were determined for each individual statutory reporting block as follows. Firstly the range of each PM was determined over the reference period used in the assessment (1992 –2016), and then that range was extended by 15% in either direction. The rationale for extending the observed range is that within the reference period, fishing pressure has not led to biological collapse of populations, thus using the actual range observed would risk creating an overly conservative Harvest Strategy. For the majority of the high yielding statutory reporting blocks, the extended range values used in this assessment are smaller than the global parameters used in the 2015 fishery assessment, and therefore more conservative (Mundy and Jones, 2016).

Scoring functions for CPUE Performance Measures

1. Target CPUE The objective of the Target CPUE PM is to maintain CPUE at or above a target value (i.e. 5 or greater on the PM scoring function). Following initial presentations of the MCDA Harvest Strategy at the June 2014 FRAG meeting, and at a subsequent workshop research/industry workshop (19/06/2014) it was agreed that an empirical process would be used to determine CPUE targets for each reporting block, based on mean annual CPUE back to 1985. A range of options for establishing appropriate CPUE targets were proposed included median annual CPUE (50th quantile), and more precautionary targets such as the 55th, 65th and 75th quantile of the annual CPUE. As the time series of data used to determine the CPUE target excludes the period of low CPUE during the late 1980's and early 1990's, a mildly precautionary approach using the 55th percentile was adopted.

The Target CPUE was determined for each statutory block, and scoring function (fig. 2.1) implemented according to the magnitude of the CPUE Target (see section 2.4.2, orange arrow). Where the current standardised CPUE is below the target CPUE, a low score is achieved (red arrow), and when the current CPUE exceeds the CPUE Target a high score is achieved (green arrow).

2. Gradient 4 CPUE The objective of this scoring function is to recommend positive increases in the TACC if the gradient of CPUE over a four year period is increasing (provisionally $n = 4$) and conversely it recommends decreases in the TACC if that gradient is negative (fig. 2.2). The assumption is that where TACC is constant or decreasing, and a negative CPUE gradient is observed, the harvest level is likely to exceed recruitment to the fishery. As CPUE is a relative measure for particular spatial assessment units, so the changes in CPUE through time need




Figure 2.1: Illustration of the translation of an observed CPUE relative to a defined Target CPUE into an MCDA score. Two instances are shown, the $CE_{b,T}$ are 80 and 120 Kg/Hr and the ΔCE are 40 and 60 Kg/Hr.

to be converted to proportional changes through time otherwise areas of different productivity would be treated differently.

$CE_{b,y}$ is the CPUE in block b in year y , and $pCE_{b,y:z}$ is the proportional change of CPUE in year y relative to year z . If w years are used as the comparative period then $z = y_0 - w - 1$, and $x = 0..w - 1$, where y_0 is the current year. Thus if w is four years,

$$pCE_{b,y-x:z} = CE_{b,y-x} / CE_{b,z} \quad (2.1)$$

The performance measure is the gradient of the linear regression between the $pCE_{b,y}$ and the sequence $1..w$:

$$pCE_{b,y} = const + grad \times y \quad (2.2)$$

With limits imposed such that the score is constrained between 0 – 10. If these limits are reached often or never reached, then the range of potential changes $(-a-a)$ would need to be modified. For this assessment, the range of observed slopes over the reference period for each individual block is extended by 15%, with $-a$ and a set as the lower and upper extent of the extended range. As for the CPUE Target example, where the current CPUE gradient is below the target of zero a low score is achieved (red arrow), and when the current CPUE gradient exceeds the Target a high score is achieved (green arrow).

3. Gradient1

The objective of this scoring function is to highlight occasions when performance of the fishery is changing rapidly. Thus where rapid increases in CPUE between the current year and the previous year are observed, it acts in addition to the Gradient 4 PM to recommend increases in TACC, and conversely recommend decreases in the TACC if there are recent rapid decreases in CPUE. The scoring function process for Gradient 1 is the same as for Gradient 4 (fig. 2.2)

$CE_{b,y}$ is the CPUE in block b in year y and this is used to calculate the performance measure Gradient 1:

$$Gradient1 = \left(\frac{CE_{b,y}}{CE_{b,y-1}} - 1 \right) \quad (2.3)$$




Figure 2.2: Illustration of the translation of CPUE gradient across a four year period into a score.

2.4.3 Performance Measure Weighting and Combined Score

A level of importance is assigned to each PM in the Harvest Strategy, by applying a weighting variable. The PM weights can be varied according to the preferred strategy, to emphasis or dampen the contribution of the PMs. For example in a rebuilding phase a higher weight is given to the CPUE Target PM, whereas once the fishery has reached the CPUE Target, this variable can be down-weighted, and emphasis placed on the Gradient 4 PM to maintain continuity. The final combined index of performance is then a sum of the PM score x PM weight, for all PMs (table 2.1).

Table 2.1: Harvest Strategy performance measures and weights for this assessment.

	TARGET CPUE	RATE1	GRADIENT CPUE
PM SCORE	a	b	c
PM WEIGHT	0.5	0.25	0.25
PM TOTAL	$a \times 0.5$	$b \times 0.25$	$c \times 0.25$
COMPOSITE INDEX SCORE	$\Sigma((a \times 0.5) + (b \times 0.25) + (c \times 0.25))$		

2.4.4 Control Rule for TACC Adjustment

A control rule system is applied to the composite score to determine the action to be taken. The control rule system proposed is based on a similar system suggested by Dichmont and Brown (2010). If the composite index score is close to the target score of 5, there is no change in TACC for a given spatial assessment unit (e.g. Block). A TACC reduction is required if the composite index score is less than 4.5, and a TACC increase may be taken if the score is greater than 6 (table 2.2).

Where the control rule results in a TACC decrease, the Control Rule specifies the minimum reduction required given the Composite Score, whereas for TACC increases, the Control Rule specifies the maximum increase. TACC increase could optionally not be taken if arguments can be rationalised to

support the status quo (e.g. market dynamics). The logic here is that for long-lived species such as commercially exploited haliotids where adult mortality is relatively low, from a biological stand point there is little to be lost in delaying a TACC increase by 12 months.

Table 2.2: Control rule applied to combined performance management score

Composite Score	< 1.0	1.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	6.0	7.0	8.0	> 9.0
	1.0	-2.0	-3.0	-4.0	-6.0	-7.0	-8.0	-9.0	
TACC Adjust	-75%	-20%	-15%	-10%	NC	5%	10%	15%	20%

Consideration of individual scoring systems, weighting coefficients, the control rules and any constraints are on-going through a series of formal workshops with experienced fishers. This Harvest Strategy has been tested by Management Strategy Evaluation (Haddon et al., 2014) and found to be effective. Ongoing testing and exploration of the properties of the Performance Measures used here and any new Indicators, determination of Reference Points and revision of Control Rules is essential.

2.4.5 Spatial scale at which Harvest Strategy is applied

Each reporting Block (= spatial assessment unit) within a fishing zone has a different long term productivity and catch rate, thus the harvest strategy is applied to each Block. The zone-wide TACC is determined by summing the recommended catch to be taken from each Block. The question arises about which Block catch should be modified to determine the projected Block catch for the following year. In practice, the TACC decision made in year_t for the fishing year_{t+1} must be made in October of year_t. Thus the final catch and CPUE for a given block is not known at the time of the decision, and the CPUE analysis must be conducted on either partial year data (January – September), or the full years data from the previous year (i.e year_{t-1}). When the fishery is declining or rebuilding rapidly, then there is a strong argument and preference by Industry to utilise all available data especially the data obtained in the year to date. In terms of the Block catch value that the adjustment will be applied to, when the analysis includes the partial year data, then the allocated catch for year_t (defined during the assessment in year_{t-1}) will form the base value for Block catch adjustment.

2.4.6 Phase plot summary of fishery status through time

A benefit of applying an empirical Harvest Strategy using performance measures with defined reference points, is the capacity to use internationally accepted tools for summarising fishery status such as the 'Kobe Plot'. For an empirical HS with no direct estimates of biomass or fishing mortality, we must use proxies for B and F. Here we use the zone Target CPUE PM score as a proxy for biomass and the zone four-year Gradient CPUE PM score as a proxy for fishing mortality. The zone-wide proxy score is calculated by taking the catch-weighted arithmetic mean of the individual block proxy score. A biomass proxy (Target CPUE) score of one is defined as the limit reference point (LRP), and a value of 5 is defined as the threshold reference point. A negative zone gradient score gives evidence that fishing mortality is increasing and the magnitude of the gradient provides some information on the magnitude of fishing mortality. In order to use this proxy to emulate a normal Kobe Plot, five is subtracted from the 4-year Gradient PM score to provide a score range of -5 to +5, where the limit reference point is zero. The combination of a negative CPUE gradient and near-record low CPUE score represents a cautious proxy for the true recruitment overfished reference point. No reporting blocks have collapsed within the reference period, providing a degree of certainty that the LRP will prevent long term stock collapse, which is supported by MSE testing of the HS. Catch rate proxies for biomass should not be considered as a direct measure of biomass. Rather, we believe catch rates are a measure of the parity between the TACC and the available exploitable biomass.

Chapter 3

Results

3.1 Eastern Zone

3.1.1 Fishery Trends

Catch and catch rates in the Eastern Zone have oscillated substantially since 1992, with evidence of a cyclic pattern of depletion and recovery (fig. 3.1). No other Tasmanian Zone shows this pattern. In 2016 the zone wide catch weighted mean $SCPUE_{cw}$ was 52 Kg/Hr and only marginally higher than in 2002 (mean $SCPUE_{cw}$ 50 Kg/Hr), the lowest year in this time series (1992–2016). (fig. 3.1). Block 13 continues to provide the bulk of the catch in this zone, with blocks 14 and 20 the next most important contributors to the Eastern Zone TACC in 2016 (fig. 3.2). Historically, blocks 16, 24 and 27 were also important contributors to the TACC, but these blocks have not performed well in recent years. In particular blocks 16 and 27 have declined by around 75% since the early 2000's, whereas the TACC has only declined by approximately 50%. North of Tasman Island however the trends are either stable or declining. Of concern are blocks 22, 23, and 29. Catch rates in block 22 are stable although catch is declining rapidly, while catch and catch rates are declining in block 23 and 29. All three of these areas have yielded higher catches over the past few years, and do not appear to have the resilience to sustain this level of fishing pressure, even for short durations.

The effects of the 2015/2016 Marine Heat Wave on abalone populations varied across the Eastern Zone, but were most apparent on the Tasman Peninsular and Bruny Island. Several of the blocks that were showing strong signs of recovery in 2015 (blocks 16, 17, and 21) declined dramatically through 2016. Catch rates in Blocks 16, 17, 20 and 23 started the fishing year at or marginally below 2015, then declined rapidly each quarter. Blocks 21 and 22 started the fishing year at a markedly lower catch rate than observed late in 2015 and remained low through the year.

The zone-wide proxy for biomass is 2.0 and above the LRP of 1 (section 2.4.6) and the zone-wide proxy for fishing mortality is -0.5 and below the TRP for sustainability (fig. 3.3).

Trends evident in the spatial indicators show strong coherence with trends in CPUE. For most reporting blocks trends in Kg/Hr are correlated with trends in CPUE, and trends in the swim rate (Metres/Hr) are inverse to CPUE. As CPUE improves we expect that productivity per hectare will also improve, and that the swim rate will decrease as divers spend more time harvesting and less time searching. Sharp declines in CPUE associated with the MHW are mirrored by decreases in Kg/ha and increases in the rate at which reef is being covered by divers (Metres/Hr).




Figure 3.1: Zone-wide catch and catch rate for Eastern Zone blacklip abalone, 1992–2016. Upper plot: catch (t) by quarter pooled across blocks currently classified as Eastern Zone. Lower Plot: standardised CPUE (black line) and geometric mean CPUE (red line).




Figure 3.2: Bubble plot of harvest strategy combined score (bubble colour) and catch (bubble size) for Eastern Zone blacklip abalone.




Figure 3.3: Phase plot of fishing mortality and biomass proxies for Eastern Zone blacklip abalone proxy, 1996–2016. The Gradient 4 PM (y-axis) is used as a proxy for fishing mortality, and the Target CPUE PM is used as a proxy for biomass. Zone score is calculated as a catch-weighted mean of individual block scores.

Blacklip: Block 13 - Actaeon's (Whale Head to Actaeon Island)




Figure 3.4: Block 13 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 14 - Lower Channel and South Bruny




Figure 3.5: Block 14 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 16 - Bruny Island (Boreel Head to Dennes Point)




Figure 3.6: Block 16 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 17 - Storm Bay




Figure 3.7: Block 17 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 19 - Fredrick Henry Bay




Figure 3.8: Block 19 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 20 - Storm Bay (Outer North Head to Cape Raoul)




Figure 3.9: Block 20 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 21 - Cape Raoul to Cape Pillar




Figure 3.10: Block 21 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 22 - Cape Pillar to Deep Glen Bay




Figure 3.11: Block 22 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 23 - Deep Glen Bay to Marion Bay




Figure 3.12: Block 23 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 24 - Maria Island (Marion Bay to Cape Bougainville)




Figure 3.13: Block 24 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 27 - Freycinet (South Shouten Island to Friendly Beaches)




Figure 3.14: Block 27 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 28 - Friendly Beaches to Bicheno




Figure 3.15: Block 28 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 29 - Bicheno to St Helen's Point




Figure 3.16: Block 29 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 30 - St Helen's Point to Eddystone Point




Figure 3.17: Block 30 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 31 - Eddystone Point to Cape Naturaliste




Figure 3.18: Block 31 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Minor Blocks with minimal catch




Figure 3.19: Blocks 15, 18, 25, 26 EZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

IMAS Summary Notes to FRAG –Eastern Zone

- The stock rebuilding assumed under way in 2015 has not continued into 2016 with only block 13 and 17 showing signs of stabilisation or continued improvement.
- The combined effects of the 2015/2016 marine heatwave and the autumnal storms have had an affect on stocks in many areas of the Eastern Zone, but particularly the south.
- Trends in spatial indicators suggest a sharp increase in effort was required early in 2016 to achieve catches, highlighting the risk of hyper-stability in CPUE, and the benefits of alternative measures of fishery performance.
- Continued market pressure for larger abalone has the potential to undermine stock rebuilding in the southern blocks.
- Blocks where fishing pressure was relatively high in 2012/2013 generally declined in 2014 and have continued to decline in 2015.
- It is recommended that catch should be reduced in those blocks where CPUE has declined since 2015.

Summary Table for Blacklip Eastern Zone

Table 3.1: *Eastern Zone summary data, Harvest Strategy outcomes and projected TACC for 2017 provided at FRAG 4, 2016.*

Block No	Catch 2015	Catch YTD	CPUE YTD	Score CPUE Targ	Score CPUE Grad	Score CPUE Rate1	Comb. Score	MCDA TACC Adj	FRAG Allc. 2016	MCDA TACC 2017	IMAS TACC 2017	FRAG TACC 2017
13	227.4	190.4	58.0	3.3	5.3	5.2	4.3	1.00	191.0	191.0	191.0	192
14	25.5	40.2	52.0	2.8	5.3	3.7	3.7	0.90	37.0	33.3	33.3	33.3
15	0.0								0.0			
16	26.1	15.9	49.9	2.1	4.3	2.1	2.7	0.85	27.0	22.9	22.9	23
17	10.9	19.2	56.8	3.6	5.9	4.8	4.5	1.00	10.0	10.0	10.0	10
19	1.7	2.8	47.7	3.0	4.4	4.0	3.6	0.90	2.0	1.8	1.8	2
20	28.7	24.7	54.2	2.0	4.3	4.7	3.2	0.90	38.0	34.2	34.2	30
21	44.7	11.7	52.9	1.8	3.9	1.2	2.2	0.85	30.0	25.5	25.5	20
22	35.9	10.1	37.3	0.4	2.7	1.4	1.2	0.80	43.0	34.4	34.4	20
23	18.6	14.7	45.3	2.6	3.0	3.7	2.9	0.85	23.0	19.6	19.6	19.6
24	22.5	15.3	50.5	2.6	4.1	3.3	3.1	0.90	38.0	34.2	34.2	34.2
26	0.2								0.0			
27	20.0	13.1	42.6	0.4	3.3	2.6	1.7	0.80	19.0	15.2	15.2	15.2
28	3.5	2.8	56.3	3.0	4.2	2.7	3.2	0.90	1.0	0.9	0.9	3
29	34.4	13.1	48.0	2.2	1.8	3.3	2.4	0.85	46.0	39.1	39.1	20
30	4.6	1.6	38.4	1.4	1.3	0.7	1.2	0.80	6.0	4.8	4.8	6
31	18.5	10.7	54.6	1.8	2.3	3.8	2.4	0.85	19.0	16.1	16.1	16.2
Total	523.2	386.4							518	471.1	471.1	444.5

3.2 Western Zone

3.2.1 Fishery Trends

For the period 1993–1999, the majority of what is now the Western Zone was under-fished (catch ranging from 500–750 t) in preference to the Eastern Zone where a higher beach price could be achieved. This led to substantial accumulation of biomass and very high catch rates (1993 mean $SCPUE_{cw}$ 104.5 Kg/Hr; 1999 mean $SCPUE_{cw}$ 163.0 Kg/Hr). With the introduction of zones in 2000–2001 to manage the distribution of effort, the Western Zone TACC was elevated to 1260 t, and remained at this level through to 2008 with mean $SCPUE_{cw}$ declining to below 130 Kg/Hr. Widespread selective fishing to deliver medium size abalone to the live market was considered to be damaging to the resource at this time, along with long-term declines in $SCPUE$ was addressed by partitioning the northern blocks of the Western Zone into a new Central Western Zone, and implementation of spatial catch caps set annually for four broad geographic regions within this zone, to prevent excess catch being harvested due to economic pressures. The TACC in this management unit was reduced in 2009 to 924 t. In 2013 Blocks 7 and 8 were moved from the Central Western Zone back into the Western Zone and the TACC increased to 1001 t associated with the increased fishing area, but effectively retaining the same level of catch as in 2012. In 2013 mean $SCPUE$ declined to 111.7 Kg/Hr, triggering a TACC reduction to 840 t for 2014 and 2015. By late 2015, mean $SCPUE_{cw}$ had declined to 91.9 Kg/Hr, necessitating further reductions in TACC for 2016. At the end of 2016, zone-wide mean $SCPUE_{cw}$ had improved to 100.2 Kg/Hr. Blocks 7, 9, 11, 12 and 13 all showed increases in $SCPUE$, while block 10 remain stable, and block 6 continued to decline.

Catch and catch rates in the Western Zone have declined gradually since 2000, with no evidence of cyclic pattern of depletion and recovery (fig. 3.20). The distribution of catch across blocks has been relatively stable (fig. 3.21), with the exception of block 13 where catch has reduced by a larger proportion ($\approx 50\%$) than expected given the overall TACC reduction. Blocks 11 and 12 remain the highest producers of blacklip abalone in this zone. The zone-wide proxy for biomass is 2.4, marginally above the LRP, while the proxy for fishing mortality has improved from 2015 and is now -0.8, although remains below the TRP for sustainability (fig. 3.22).




Figure 3.20: Zone-wide catch and catch rate for Western Zone blacklip abalone, 1992–2016. Upper plot: catch (t) by quarter pooled across blocks currently classified as Western Zone. Lower Plot: standardised CPUE (black line) and geometric mean CPUE (red line).




Figure 3.21: Bubble plot of harvest strategy combined score (bubble colour) and catch (bubble size) for Western Zone blacklip abalone. Block 6 catch prior to 2000 included in Central Western Zone and Block 13 included in Eastern Zone




Figure 3.22: Phase plot of fishing mortality and biomass proxies for Western Zone blacklip abalone proxy, 1996–2016. The Gradient 4 PM (y-axis) is used as a proxy for fishing mortality, and the Target CPUE PM is used as a proxy for biomass. Zone score is calculated as a catch-weighted mean of individual block scores.

Blacklip: Block 6D - Wild Wave River to Italian River




Figure 3.23: Block 6D WZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 7 - Italian River to Granville Harbour




Figure 3.24: Block 7 WZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 8 - Granville Harbour to Ocean Beach




Figure 3.25: Block 8 WZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 9 - Ocean Beach to Meerim Beach




Figure 3.26: Block 9 WZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 10 - Meerim Beach to Low Rocky Point




Figure 3.27: Block 10 WZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 11 - Low Rocky Point to Faults Bay




Figure 3.28: Block 11 WZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 12 - Faults Bay to Prion Beach




Figure 3.29: Block 12 WZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 13A and B - Prion Beach to Whale Head




Figure 3.30: Block 13A/B WZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Effect on CPUE of increasing effort on deep reefs

Historically, several reefs greater than 10m were fished intensively and in recent years fishers are increasingly returning to a number of these deep fishing grounds. The primary deep reef fishing grounds are found around offshore islands and rock stacks particular along the south coast, but also the west coast and King Island. While concern was expressed by several divers about the extent of deep fishing, only block 12 shows a trend of increasing effort on the deeper reefs. Overall effort has increased in block 12 between 2012 and 2016 and, the proportion of effort deeper than 15 m has also increased annually (fig. 3.31). Depth stratification of fishing trends at the other locations appears stable. Average catch rates (Kg/Hr) on these deep reefs can be up to double the average catch rate shallower than 10 m (fig. 3.32). Catch rates in the 16 m to 20m band at block 12 has been declining over the past two years (fig. 3.32).




Figure 3.31: Fishing effort in Block 12 across five depth bands: a) Total effort in each depth band, pooled across dives; b) effort in each depth band as a percentage of total annual effort.




Figure 3.32: CPUE Kg/Hr in Block 12 across five depth bands. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means with 95% confidence intervals. Solid black line indicates CPUE across all depths pooled. Coloured dashed lines represent CPUE in each depth band.

In contrast to block 12, the overall effort in block 13 has been declining over the same time period, while effort deeper than 15 m has been increasing (fig. 3.33). Currently the number of fishing events deeper than 15 m in block 13 are too few to reliably calculate mean CPUE at this depth and to gauge the impact on overall CPUE in block 13 (fig. 3.34).




Figure 3.33: Fishing effort in Block 13 across five depth bands: a) Total effort in each depth band, pooled across dives; b) effort in each depth band as a percentage of total annual effort.




Figure 3.34: CPUE Kg/Hr in Block 13 across five depth bands. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means with 95% confidence intervals. Solid black line indicates CPUE across all depths pooled. Coloured dashed lines represent CPUE in each depth band.

IMAS Summary Notes to FRAG –Western Zone

- The TACC in the Western Zone was reduced from 1001t to 840 t in 2014 held constant for 2015 and lowered to 717.5 t in 2016.
- The objective of that TACC reduction was to reduce catch below the combined production through recruitment and somatic growth, and trigger stock rebuilding.
- CPUE continues to decline unabated in Blocks 6D and 7, with stable or increasing CPUE observed in the remainder of blocks. indicating catch remains greater than the combined biomass increase through recruitment and growth of abalone already above the LML.
- Several blocks show a short term (1 year lag) link between catch and CPUE, indicating that CPUE reflects short-term changes in stock levels.
- For many blocks, CPUE is at a 14 year low, and at a 14 year low in catch.
- **MCDA Recommendation is for a reduction primarily in the northern blocks catch from 717 Tonnes to 662 tonnes in the Western Zone for 2017.**
- **IMAS notes that CPUE in 2016 has stabilised in several blocks, and TACC reductions should be restricted to those areas that have not responded to recent TACC reductions.**
- Deep reef fishing in block 12 is elevating the overall catch rate in this block, and excluding the deeper fishing events (> 15m depth) decreases the overall CPUE by ≈ 10 Kg/Hr. The degree of effort currently applied to depths greater than 15 m is similar in effect to find a new reef that has not previously been fished, and is reducing pressure on the shallow reefs. As CPUE declines on these deeper reefs, the incentive to continue fishing at depth is expected to rapidly diminish.

Summary table for Blacklip Western Zone

Table 3.2: Western Zone summary data, Harvest Strategy outcomes and projected TACC for 2017 provided at FRAG 4, 2016.

Block No	Catch 2015	Catch YTD	CPUE YTD	Score CPUE Targ	Score CPUE Grad	Score CPUE Rate ¹	Comb. MCDA Score	MCDA TACC Adj	FRAG Allc. 2016	MCDA TACC 2017	IMAS TACC 2017	FRAG TACC 2017
6	32.5	7.9	77.1	0.6	2.7	4.1	2.0	0.85	20.00	17.0	17.0	20
7	65.3	16.4	88.1	0.6	3.0	3.9	2.0	0.85	51.00	43.4	43.4	51
8	11.1	10.8	143.9	4.6	5.2	9.6	6.0	1.00	12.75	12.8	12.8	13
9	88.5	49.0	100.0	1.4	3.3	5.6	2.9	0.85	106.25	90.3	90.3	106
10	114.6	58.7	87.0	0.5	2.5	4.9	2.1	0.85	80.00	68.0	68.0	80
11	239.9	113.2	91.5	1.7	3.6	5.5	3.1	0.90	160.00	144.0	144.0	160
12	244.8	193.1	105.9	4.2	5.1	5.9	4.8	1.00	252.00	252.0	252.0	252
13	34.3	17.4	85.4	3.5	5.4	6.1	4.6	1.00	35.00	35.0	35.0	35
Total	831	466.4							717.5	662.4	662.4	717

3.3 Central Western Zone

3.3.1 Fishery Trends

Standardised CPUE (SCPUE) in the current Central Western Zone sub-blocks has oscillated over the past 15 years, but has shown a steady and rapid decline over the past five years, suggesting the biomass has been reduced (figs. [3.35](#) and [3.36](#)). During 2012, 127 t was harvested from this area and associated with the zone boundary change the TACC in this management unit was reduced by 20% in 2013 to 105.1 t, by 25% in 2014 to 73.5 t, and a further 28% in 2015 to 52.5t, and further to 42.4 t in 2016. The mean SCPUE_{cw} in 2016 was 61.5 Kg/Hr compared with an SCPUE_{cw} of 136.5 Kg/Hr when this zone was created in 2009. The SCPUE continues to decline despite four successive TACC reductions, underpinning further reductions for 2017. The zone-wide proxy for biomass is 1.0, the LRP, while the proxy for fishing mortality is -2.9, which is below the TRP for sustainability.




Figure 3.35: Zone-wide catch and catch rate for Central Western Zone blacklip abalone, 1992–2016. Upper plot: catch (t) by quarter pooled across blocks currently classified as Central Western Zone. Lower Plot: standardised CPUE (black line) and geometric mean CPUE (red line).




Figure 3.36: Bubble plot of harvest strategy combined score (bubble colour) and catch (bubble size) for Central Western Zone blacklip abalone.




Figure 3.37: Phase plot of fishing mortality and biomass proxies for Central Western Zone blacklip abalone proxy, 1996–2016. The Gradient 4 PM (y-axis) is used as a proxy for fishing mortality, and the Target CPUE PM is used as a proxy for biomass. Zone score is calculated as a catch-weighted mean of individual block scores.

Blacklip: Block 6 - Sundown Point to Wild Wave River




Figure 3.38: Block 6A/C CWZ: a) Catch per quarter and standardised CPUE (black with 95% CL) and unstandardised CPUE (red); b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; e) mean maximum length of dive; f) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

IMAS Summary Notes to FRAG –Central Western Zone

- MCDA Recommendation is for a reduction in catch from 42 Tonnes to 36 Tonnes in the Central Western Zone for 2017.

Summary table for Blacklip Central Western Zone

Table 3.3: *Central Western Zone summary data, Harvest Strategy outcomes and projected TACC for 2017 provided at FRAG 4, 2016.*

Block No	Catch 2015	Catch YTD	CPUE YTD	Score CPUE Targ	Score CPUE Grad	Score CPUE Rate1	Comb. Score	MCDA TACC Adj	FRAG Allc. 2016	MCDA TACC 2017	IMAS TACC 2017	FRAG TACC 2017
6	51.9	16.6	66.2	0.6	2.7	5.4	2.3	0.85	42.40	36.0	36.0	35
Total	71.5	23.7							42.4	36.04	36.04	35

3.4 Northern Zone

3.4.1 Fishery Trends

The geographic variability in dynamics within the Tasmanian Northern Zone are reflected by three different Legal Minimum Lengths (LML) –120 mm, 127 mm and 132 mm. Regional catch and catch rates have varied between 2000 and 2016 (fig. 3.39) as a function of changing market preference and adaptive management including effort redistribution and changes in LML. The majority of abalone landed from this zone are traditionally unsuited to the live market, and are processed for canned or frozen markets. In 2008, the first of two industry driven experimental fisheries to improve fish quality commenced in Block 5 with a reduction in LML from 132mm –127 mm and a 50 t increase in catch, and a second industry driven experimental fishery commenced in Block 49 in 2011, pushing the Northern Zone TACC to a peak of 402.5 t. These two blocks, along with block 3 on King Island produce the majority of blacklip abalone for the Northern Zone (fig. 3.40). This experimental depletion initiative was not successful, and has had longer term negative impacts on biomass. Standardised CPUE (SCPUE) varies across different geographic regions within the Northern Zone, but $SCPUE_{cw}$ for the zone has fallen in all the key fishing grounds targeted in the industry program over the past five years despite TACC reductions in 2012, 2013 and 2014 and again in 2015 (chapter C). The mean $SCPUE_{cw}$ in 2007 prior to the industry experiments was 93.1 Kg/hr at a TACC of 280 t, compared with a mean $SCPUE_{cw}$ of 59.3 Kg/Hr in 2016 at a TACC of 189 t. The rate of decline in SCPUE since 2012 has been sharp despite consecutive TACC reductions, and a further reduction in TACC was approved for 2017.

There is a clear and unambiguous effect of the TACC increases and LML reductions (fig. 3.41), with the longer-term consequences of that strategy yet to be fully understood. In 2016, the zone-wide proxy for biomass was 2.3, marginally above the LRP, while the proxy for fishing mortality was -1.5, which is below the TRP for sustainability.




Figure 3.39: Zone-wide catch and catch rate for Northern Zone blacklip abalone, 1992–2016. Upper plot: catch (t) by quarter pooled across blocks currently classified as Northern Zone. Lower Plot: standardised CPUE (black line) and geometric mean CPUE (red line).




Figure 3.40: Bubble plot of harvest strategy combined score (bubble colour) and catch (bubble size) for Northern Zone blacklip abalone. Block 31 catch prior to 2000 included in Eastern Zone




Figure 3.41: Phase plot of fishing mortality and biomass proxies for Northern Zone blacklip abalone proxy, 1996–2016. The Gradient 4 PM (y-axis) is used as a proxy for fishing mortality, and the Target CPUE PM is used as a proxy for biomass. Zone score is calculated as a catch-weighted mean of individual block scores.

Blacklip: Block 31B - Cape Naturaliste to Little Musselroe Bay




Figure 3.42: Block 31B NZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE box-plot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 39 - Little Musselrose Bay to Tomahawk Beach




Figure 3.43: Block 39 NZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 48 - Kingston Point to Woolnorth




Figure 3.44: Block 48 NZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 49 - Hunter Island, Albatross Island




Figure 3.45: Block 49 NZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 5A-C - Woolnorth to Arthur River




Figure 3.46: Block 5 NZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 1 - Cape Wickham to KI airport




Figure 3.47: Block 1 NZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 2 - Cape Wickham to Sea Elephant Bay




Figure 3.48: Block 2 NZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Quarter ■ Q1 ■ Q2 ■ Q3 ■ Q4

blockno 3 Catch (tonnes)

blockno 3 CPUE

MCDA Score

Q ■ Q1 ■ Q2 ■ Q3 ■ Q4

Block 3 Kg/Ha

Block 3 Max Distance

Block 3 Meters/Hour

KEY: — Standardized mean CPUE — Geometric mean CPUE - - CPUE Target (55th p%)

June 7, 2017

Blacklip: Block 4 - Middle Point to Sea Elephant Bay




Figure 3.50: Block 4 NZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

IMAS Summary Notes to FRAG –Northern Zone

- The depletion experiments in Blocks 5 and 49 have not improved the fishery in those locations, and would appear to have had long lasting (but not permanent) effects on production.
- Rapidly declining CPUE and historic low CPUE in areas where LML reductions and elevated catches are of concern.
- In some areas, annual catch and CPUE are both below levels observed prior to LML reductions.
- Location, depth, and time of year appear to contribute to different opinions among local and visiting divers at King Island. However, the majority of fishing grounds are visited by both groups of divers, and there are limited areas where local divers fish in isolation. Where local and visiting divers overlap spatially, local divers typically fish early in the year and have higher CPUE as a consequence.

Summary table for Blacklip Northern Zone

Table 3.4: Northern Zone summary data, Harvest Strategy outcomes and projected TACC for 2017 provided at FRAG 4, 2016.

Block No	Catch 2015	Catch YTD	CPUE YTD	Score CPUE Targ	Score CPUE Grad	Score CPUE Rate1	Comb. Score	MCDA TACC Adj	FRAG Allc. 2016	MCDA TACC 2017	IMAS TACC 2017	FRAG TACC 2017
1	12.7	4.5	30.7	0.0	2.1	5.1	1.8	0.80	12.0	9.6	9.6	9.6
2	3.7	2.3	7.6	0.0			0.0		0.0			0
3	38.0	13.0	81.8	3.2	3.1	6.7	4.0	1.00	40.0	40.0	40.0	32
4	5.6	0.6					0.0		5.0			4
5	61.4	52.1	58.9	1.0	3.9	3.6	2.4	0.85	50.0	42.5	42.5	42.5
31	26.1	26.1	55.6	2.9	4.6	4.8	3.8	0.90	22.5	20.2	20.2	22.5
39	4.6	4.2	52.9	3.8	4.2	3.8	3.9	0.90	5.2	4.7	4.7	5.3
48	8.3	5.3	54.3	2.0	3.6	4.7	3.1	0.90	10.0	9.0	9.0	6
49	68.3	19.5	56.2	0.7	2.3	4.5	2.0	0.85	44.0	37.4	37.4	26.4
Total	228.8	121.9							189	163.4	163.4	148.3

3.5 Bass Strait Zone

3.5.1 Fishery Trends

Since the creation of this zone in 2003, catch and standardised CPUE (SCPUE) have been relatively stable (fig. 3.51). The Bass Strait Zone was closed in 2007 due to concerns around the possible risk of transferring AVG from Victoria to Tasmania, and re-opened in 2008. In 2016, the TACC for the Bass Strait Zone was increased from 70 t to 77 t, with the additional catch to come from the Bass Strait Islands which have been lightly fished for the past few years. The zone-wide catch weighted block mean $SCPUE_{cw}$ was 91.6 Kg/Hr, compared with 79.1 Kg/Hr when the zone was established in 2003 (chapter C). The distribution of catch has shifted over the past ten years, largely associated with the reduction in LML in blocks 38 (Babel Island) and 33 (Clarke Island) (fig. 3.52). The zone-wide proxy for biomass has increased from 6.5 in 2015 to 8.0 in 2016 and well above the LRP, and the zone-wide proxy for fishing mortality is 0.8 and above the TRP for sustainability (fig. 3.53).




Figure 3.51: Zone-wide catch and catch rate for Bass Strait Zone blacklip abalone, 1992–2016. Upper plot: catch (t) by quarter pooled across blocks currently classified as Bass Strait Zone. Lower Plot: standardised CPUE (black line) and geometric mean CPUE (red line).




Figure 3.52: Bubble plot of harvest strategy combined score (bubble colour) and catch (bubble size) for Bass Strait Zone blacklip abalone.




Figure 3.53: Phase plot of fishing mortality and biomass proxies for Bass Strait Zone blacklip abalone proxy, 1996–2016. The Gradient 4 PM (y-axis) is used as a proxy for fishing mortality, and the Target CPUE PM is used as a proxy for biomass. Zone score is calculated as a catch-weighted mean of individual block scores.

Blacklip: Block 32 - Western Clarke Island and Armstrong Passage




Figure 3.54: Block 32 BSZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE box-plot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 33 - SE Clarke Island and Cape Barren Islands




Figure 3.55: Block 33 BSZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE box-plot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 37 - NW Flinders Island




Figure 3.56: Block 37 BSZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE box-plot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 38 - NE Flinders Island inc. Babel Island




Figure 3.57: Block 38 BSZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE box-plot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 41 - Waterhouse Beach to Fanny's Bay




Figure 3.58: Block 41 BSZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE box-plot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 43 - Three Mile Bluff to Northdown Beach




Figure 3.59: Block 43 BSZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE box-plot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 45 - Heybridge to Chambers Bay




Figure 3.60: Block 45 BSZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE box-plot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 51 - Kent Group




Figure 3.61: Block 51 BSZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE box-plot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 53 - Hogan Group




Figure 3.62: Block 53 BSZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE box-plot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Blacklip: Block 54 - East Moncoeur Island




Figure 3.63: Block 54 BSZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE box-plot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

IMAS Summary Notes to FRAG –Bass Strait Zone

- Increases in CPUE are assumed to be an indication of increase in biomass, and vice versa.
- Rapidly declining CPUE and historic low CPUE in areas where LML reductions and elevated catches are of concern.
- In some areas, annual catch and CPUE are both below levels observed prior to LML reductions.
- MCDA result is absent from some blocks due to lack of fishing across assessment period and/or current year.
- Overall Bass Strait Zone recommendation from IMAS is No Change.

Summary table for Blacklip Bass Strait Zone

Table 3.5: Bass Strait Zone summary data, Harvest Strategy outcomes and projected TACC for 2017 provided at FRAG 4, 2016.

Block No	Catch 2015	Catch YTD	CPUE YTD	Score CPUE Targ	Score CPUE Grad	Score CPUE Rate1	Comb. Score	MCDA TACC Adj	FRAG Allc. 2016	MCDA TACC 2017	IMAS TACC 2017	FRAG TACC 2017
32	1.0	0.4	98.0	10.0			0.0		1.0	1.0	1.0	1
33	15.3	20.2	95.7	7.0	5.0	4.4	5.8	1.00	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9
34	0.3	0.1							1.0	1.0	1.0	1
35	0.1	0.1							1.0	1.0	1.0	1
36	1.1	4.1							2.0	2.0	2.0	2
37	2.0	1.7					0.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2
38	25.1	17.5	100.7	7.0	5.9	4.9	6.2	1.05	17.6	18.5	18.5	17.6
41	1.2	0.8					0.0		0.0			0
42	0.7	1.8							0.0			0
43	6.1	4.7	57.4	6.5	6.4	9.1	7.1	1.10	5.0	5.5	5.5	5
44	0.7								1.0	1.0	1.0	1
45	1.0								1.0	1.0	1.0	1
46	0.3								0.0			0
51	1.4	7.7	63.3	4.7	3.2	10.0	5.7	1.00	3.0	3.0	3.0	5.5
53	8.7	9.8	86.7	6.7	7.2	7.1	6.9	1.05	16.0	16.8	16.8	18
54	1.8								2.0	2.0	2.0	2
56	3.7								0.0			0
Total	66.1	53.2							77	79.3	77	77

3.6 Greenlip Zone

3.6.1 Fishery Trends

The TACC for the Tasmanian greenlip abalone fishery has been stable at around 140 t since 2000 (fig. 3.64), with only minor variation in the proportion of the TACC harvested from each of the four regions (King Island, North West, North East and Furneaux) (fig. 3.65). In 2016, the zone-wide catch-weighted block mean $SCPUE_{cw}$ was 60.8 kg per hour. The regional SCPUE is close to the target SCPUE in two of the four regions; the Furneaux Group region is above the target and the King Island region is below the target. The current North West (excluding Perkins Bay) SCPUE of 53.3 kg per hour is well below the CPUE target (73 Kg/Hr), and has been declining rapidly since 2012 (91 Kg/Hr). Mean SCPUE in the Perkins Bay capped area in 2016 was 78.8 Kg/Hr and below the CPUE target of 89 Kg/Hr. With an increasing beach price offered for larger greenlip abalone, selective fishing for larger animals in Perkins Bay is increasing, with a negative effect on catch rates (greater handling and search time), potentially distorting SCPUE trends in this region. The King Island SCPUE has been declining for several years, although in 2016 SCPUE remains at 50 Kg/Hr. King Island has the largest LML (150 mm) and assumed to provide greater protection of spawning biomass. Changes from a winter to late-summer (lower weight/length) fishing season in recent years and increased selective fishing are thought to have had more influence over the SCPUE trend and HS outcomes than actual changes in biomass. However, until these factors are included in the CPUE standardisation, as a precautionary approach this stock is considered to be declining from a sustainable position. The zone-wide proxy for biomass is 4.2 and above the LRP, and the zone-wide proxy for F is negative 1.2 and below the TRP for sustainability (fig. 3.66).




Figure 3.64: Zone-wide catch and catch rate for greenlip abalone, 1992–2016. Upper plot: catch (t) by quarter pooled across blocks. Lower Plot: standardised CPUE (black line) and geometric mean CPUE (red line).




Figure 3.65: Bubble plot of harvest strategy combined score (bubble colour) and catch (bubble size) for green-lip abalone.




Figure 3.66: Phase plot of fishing mortality and biomass proxies for greenlip abalone, 1996–2016. The Gradient 4 PM (y-axis) is used as a proxy for fishing mortality, and the Target CPUE PM is used as a proxy for biomass. Zone score is calculated as a catch-weighted mean of individual regional scores.

Greenlip: Block 1 - Cape Wickham to KI airport




Figure 3.67: Block 1 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 2 - Cape Wickham to Sea Elephant Bay




Figure 3.68: Block 2 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 3 - KI airport to Middle Point




Figure 3.69: Block 3 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 4 - Middle Point to Sea Elephant Bay




Figure 3.70: Block 4 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 5 - Woolnorth to Arthur River




Figure 3.71: Block 5 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 48 - Kingston Point to Woolnorth inc. Perkins Bay




Figure 3.72: Block 48 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 49 - Hunter Island, Albatross Island




Figure 3.73: Block 49 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 31 - Cape Naturaliste to Eddystone Point




Figure 3.74: Block 31 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 39 - Little Musselrose Bay to Tomahawk Beach




Figure 3.75: Block 39 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 32 - Western Clarke Island and Armstrong Passage




Figure 3.76: Block 32 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 33 - SE Clarke Island and Cape Barren Islands




Figure 3.77: Block 33 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 34 - SW Flinders Island




Figure 3.78: Block 34 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 35 - Franklin Sound




Figure 3.79: Block 35 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 36 - SE Flinders Island




Figure 3.80: Block 36 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 37 - NW Flinders Island




Figure 3.81: Block 37 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

Greenlip: Block 38 - NE Flinders Island inc. Babel Island




Figure 3.82: Block 38 GZ: a) Catch quarter (bars) with standardised CPUE; b) HCR outcome; c) CPUE boxplot by quarter; d) standardised CPUE (black with SE bars) and un-standardised CPUE (red); e) mean KgHa based on dive vessel footprint from kernel density analysis; f) mean maximum length of dive; g) mean swim rate in metres of coast per hour. Note: all means are bias corrected geometric means.

IMAS Summary Notes to FRAG –Greenlip Zone

- Increases in CPUE are assumed to be an indication of increase in biomass, and vice versa.
- The method of capture and extent of mixed species fishing within the greenlip fishery in any year is likely to impact the reliability of CPUE estimates. In order to account for all mixed species fishing activity throughout the assessment process we utilise the catch-weighted estimate of CPUE in mixed species fishing. A published account of CPUE assessment in mixed species fishing between blacklip and greenlip species found that catch-weighted estimates of CPUE to be the most robust method (Burch et al., 2011). Many of the blocks from which greenlip are taken have relatively little catch, and there is no within region effort management. Consequently it was agreed that the performance of the greenlip fishery would be assessed at the region scale, with block added to the CPUE standardisation.
- **IMAS Recommendation is for a reduction in catch from 140 Tonnes to 131 Tonnes in the Greenlip fishery for 2016.**

Summary of Greenlip by Region

Table 3.6: Greenlip Zone summary data, Harvest Strategy outcomes and projected TACC for 2017 provided at FRAG 4, 2016. BSI = Bass Strait Islands, CN = Central North, FG = Furneaux Group, KI = King Island, NE = North East, NW = North West (excl. PB), PB = Perkins Bay

Block No	Catch 2015	Catch YTD	CPUE YTD	Score CPUE Targ	Score CPUE Grad	Score CPUE Rate1	Comb. Score	MCDA TACC Adj	FRAG Allc. 2016	MCDA TACC 2017	IMAS TACC 2017	FRAG TACC 2017
BSI		0.1							0.5		0.5	0.5
CN	0.4	0.0							1.0		1	8
FG	44.7	25.4	62.3	5.2	4.3	5.2	5.0	1.00	47.0		47.0	47
KI	23.1	23.5	50.7	1.9	4.5	5.2	3.4	0.90	20.0		18.0	20
NE	33.0	34.5	59.9	3.9	4.3	4.9	4.3	1.00	25.5		25.5	25.5
NW	21.8	21.0	53.3	1.0	3.8	2.8	2.1	0.85	21.0		17.8	17.8
PB	21.2	13.2	73.7	2.5	2.6	2.4	2.5	0.85	25.0		21.2	21.2
Total	144.4	117.7	140						138.4		131	140

Chapter 4

Discussion

Status of Tasmanian abalone stocks in 2016 varies substantially across the state, with two reversals in trend. The majority of the Western Zone is improving with the exception of Block 6, while the Eastern Zone has again declined. The origins of the improvement in the Western Zone CPUE are unknown, where has the primary factor responsible for the decline in the Eastern Zone was the 2015/2016 Marine Heat Wave event (Oliver et al., in press). The Bass Strait blacklip fishery continues to perform well, with no evidence of a negative effect on CPUE of the 10% TACC increase. The key fishing blocks in the Northern Zone (Blocks 3, 5, and 49) and the Central Western (Subblocks 6 a–c) are declining rapidly despite efforts to reduce the TACC over several years, or re-distribute effort within zones. The greenlip fishery remains healthy although some reductions are likely in the ensuing years for the two western regions (King Island and North West). Catch reductions were recommended and adopted for the Eastern, Central Western and Northern Zones, while Western and Greenlip Zones remain unchanged. The TACC for the Bass Strait Zone was increased by 10% to 77 t, on condition the additional 7 t was taken from the Bass Strait Islands.

The use of catch caps was far more explicit through 2016, largely as a consequence of divers not always able to exercise choice of where they fish, with effort applied to reefs which have lower CPUE, but higher market value abalone. Northern and Central Western zone blocks that were the target of industry initiated experimental fishing programs continue to decline despite four consecutive TACC reductions.

The challenge with the introduction of a Harvest Strategy is reconciling the lag in response of the fishery to management change with the operation of the HS, and whether action should be taken every year or every second year. An initial set of meta- rules or 'break out' rules for the Harvest Strategy have been developed, but these require more discussion and more evaluation to ensure the HS is effective. In particular, there is a need to formalise alteration of the Recommended Biological Catch (RBC), where the RBC involves a small TACC reduction (i.e. no action if the Zone TACC reduction is less than 5%), and holding the TACC when the fishery is improving, but still below the target reference point.

4.1 Future developments

Increasingly IMAS has been asked to provide a recommended TACC for each Zone. Commencing in 2012, IMAS commenced development of an empirical Harvest Strategy (HS), to provide a defensible framework for providing such advice. The draft HS was reviewed by an independent panel in January 2015 (Buxton et al., 2015), and in 2015 the HS was run in parallel with the FRAG weight of evidence approach. The Recommended Biological Catch (RBC) from the IMAS HS were very close to the decision reached through the weight of evidence approach. As timing of previous reductions or increases in TACC were optimistic, the degree of conservatism of the HS requires close attention.

The intention of the HS is to present a starting RBC to the FRAG, which is then discussed and potentially modified, with documentation of why the RBC presented by IMAS was not followed by the FRAG, and the reasoning for an alternative FRAG catch recommendation.

A number of changes were made to the preparation of the assessment material were also started in 2015. The most important change was a move to develop automation systems for data analysis and report presentation. This initiative was done to improve efficiency of the process, but also to improve the audit trail of the assessment process, and enable examination of all components of the data handling steps. Statistical standardisation of catch rate data was also introduced. This enables IMAS to address long-held concerns by industry on the effect of practices such as doubling up (two divers/vessel) on catch rates.

Improvement of the Harvest Strategy remains a priority, and for the 2016 fishery assessment an important change was made to the way the boundary conditions for scoring functions were determined. Previously individual block based parameters were used only for the CPUE Target PM, and global parameters were used for the four-year and one-year Gradient PMs. For this assessment, the scoring functions and boundaries were condition explicitly for each block, based on that blocks history (2.4.2).

Bibliography

- Breen, P. (1992), A review of models used for stock assessment in abalone fisheries, in S. Shepherd, M. Tegner and S. Guzman del Proo, eds, 'Abalone of the World: Biology, Fisheries and Culture', Blackwell Scientific: Oxford, pp. 253–275.
- Burch, P., Mayfield, S., Stobart, B., Chick, R. C. and McGarvey, R. (2011), 'Estimating species-specific catch rates in a mixed-species dive fishery', *Journal of Shellfish Research* **30**(2), 425–436.
URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2983/035.030.0229>
- Burkepile, D. E. and Hay, M. E. (2006), 'Herbivore vs. nutrient control of marine primary producers: Context-dependent effects', *Ecology* **87**(12), 3128–3139.
URL: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658\(2006\)87\[3128:HVNCOM\]2.0.CO;2](http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2006)87[3128:HVNCOM]2.0.CO;2)
- Buxton, C. D., Cartright, I., Dichmont, C., Mayfield, S. and Plaganyi, E. E. (2015), Review of the harvest strategy and mcda process for the tasmanian abalone fishery, Technical report, Insitute for Marine and Antarctic Studies. ISBN: 978-1-86295-844-9.
- Dichmont, C. and Brown, I. W. (2010), 'A case study in successful management of a data-poor fishery using simple decision rules: the queensland spanner crab fishery', pp. 1–13.
URL: <http://www.bioone.org/doi/abs/10.1577/C08-034.1>
- Guest, M., Nichols, P., Frusher, S. and Hirst, A. (2008), 'Evidence of abalone (*haliotis rubra*) diet from combined fatty acid and stable isotope analyses', *Marine Biology* **153**(4), 579–588.
URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00227-007-0831-9>
- Haddon, M., Mayfield, S., Helidoniotis, F., Chick, R. and Mundy, C. (2014), Identification and evaluation of performance indicators for abalone fisheries. frdc final report 2007/020, Technical report, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Hobart.
URL: http://frdc.com.au/research/Final_reports/2007-020-DLD.pdf
- Haddon, M. and Mundy, C. (2016), Testing abalone empirical harvest strategies, for setting tacs and associated lmls, that include the use of novel spatially explicit performance measures., techreport, CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere, Hobart.
- Haddon, M., Mundy, C. and Tarbath, D. (2008), 'Using an inverse-logistic model to describe growth increments of blacklip abalone (*Haliotis rubra*) in tasmania', *Fishery Bulletin* **106**(1), 58–71. 262XM Times Cited:4 Cited References Count:25.
URL: <Go to ISI/><://000253181800006
- Harrison, A. J. (1983), 'The tasmanian abalone fishery', *Tasmanian Fisheries Research* **26**, 1–42. Hobart: Tasmanian Fisheries Development Authority.
- Helidoniotis, F. and Haddon, M. (2012), 'Growth model selection for juvenile blacklip abalone (*Haliotis rubra*): assessing statistical and biological validity', *Mar. Freshwater Res.* **63**(1), 23–33.
URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/MF11103>
- Helidoniotis, F. and Haddon, M. (2013), 'Growth models for fisheries: The effect of unbalanced sampling error on model selection, parameter estimation, and biological predictions', *Journal of Shellfish*

Research **32**(1), 223–235.

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2983/035.032.0129>

Jenkins, G. (2004), 'The ecosystem effects of abalone fishing: a review', *Marine and Freshwater Research* **55**, 545–552.

URL: <http://www.publish.csiro.au/MF/MF04046>

Johnson, C., Ling, S., Ross, J., Shepherd, S. and Miller, K. (2005), 'Establishment of the long-spined sea urchin (*Centrostephanus rodgersii*) in tasmania: first assessment of threats to fisheries'. Tasmanian Aquaculture and Fisheries Institute, University of Tasmania, FRDC Final Report Project No. 2001/044.

Johnson, C. R., Banks, S. C., Barrett, N. S., Cazassus, F., Dunstan, P. K., Edgar, G. J., Frusher, S. D., Gardner, C., Haddon, M., Helidoniotis, F., Hill, K. L., Holbrook, N. J., Hosie, G. W., Last, P. R., Ling, S. D., Melbourne-Thomas, J., Miller, K., Pecl, G. T., Richardson, A. J., Ridgway, K. R., Rintoul, S. R., Ritz, D. A., Ross, D. J., Sanderson, J. C., Shepherd, S. A., Slotwinski, A., Swadling, K. M. and Taw, N. (2011), 'Climate change cascades: Shifts in oceanography, species' ranges and subtidal marine community dynamics in eastern tasmania', *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **400**(1–2), 17 – 32. Global change in marine ecosystems.

URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022098111000803>

Lyle, J. M. and Tracey, S. R. (2016), 'Tasmanian recreational rock lobster and abalone fisheries: 2014-2015 fishing season'.

McShane, P. E. (1992), Early life history of abalone: a review, in S. A. Shepherd, M. J. Tegner and S. Guzman del Proo, eds, 'Abalone of the world: Biology, fisheries and culture', Fishing News Books, Oxford, pp. 120–138.

McShane, P. E. (1995), 'Estimating the abundance of abalone: the importance of patch size', *Marine and Freshwater Research* **46**, 657–62.

McShane, P. E. and Smith, M. G. (1991), 'Recruitment variation in sympatric populations of *Haliotis rubra* (mollusca: Gastropoda) in southeast Australian waters', *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **73**, 203–210.

Miller, K. J., Maynard, B. T. and Mundy, C. N. (2009), 'Genetic diversity and gene flow in collapsed and healthy abalone fisheries', *Molecular Ecology* **18**(2), 200–211.

URL: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.04019.x/abstract/>

Miller, K. J., Mundy, C. N. and Mayfield, S. (2014), 'Molecular genetics to inform spatial management in benthic invertebrate fisheries: a case study using the Australian greenlip abalone', *Molecular Ecology* **23**(20), 4958–4975.

URL: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/mec.12914/abstract/>

Mundy, C. (2012), Using gps technology to improve fishery dependent data collection in abalone fisheries. frdc report 2006/029., Technical report, Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania.

Mundy, C. N. and Jones, H. J. (2016), Tasmanian abalone fishery assessment 2015, techreport, Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies Report. University of Tasmania, Hobart.

URL: https://secure.utas.edu.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0008/951038/TasAbaloneAssessmentFY2015.pdf

Officer, R., Dixon, C. and Gorfine, H. (2001), 'Movement and re-aggregation of the blacklip abalone, *Haliotis rubra* (LEACH) after fishing', *Journal of Shellfish Research* **20**(2), 771–779.

Oliver, E. C., Benthuisen, J. A., Bindoff, N. L., Hobday, A. J., Holbrook, N. J., Mundy, C. N. and Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S. E. (in press), 'The unprecedented 2015/16 tasman sea marine heatwave', *Nature Communications* .

- Oliver, E. C., Lago, V., Holbrook, N. J., Ling, S. D., Mundy, C. N. and Hobday, A. J. (2017), Eastern tasmania marine heatwave atlas, resreport, Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tasmania, Australia. <http://metadata.imas.utas.edu.au/geonetwork/srv/eng/metadata.show?uuid=20188863-0af6-4032-98f8-def671cdaa58>.
- Prince, J. D., Sellers, T. L., Ford, W. B. and Talbot, S. R. (1987), 'Experimental-evidence for limited dispersal of haliotid larvae (genus *haliotis*, mollusca, gastropoda)', *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **106**(3), 243–263. G9844 Times Cited:90 Cited References Count:60.
URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022098187900967>
- Prince, J. D. and Shepherd, S. A. (1992), Australian abalone fisheries and their management, in S. Shepherd, M. Tegner and S. Guzman del Prao, eds, 'Abalone of the world: biology, fisheries and culture. Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium on Abalone', pp. 407–426.
URL: <Go to ISI>://CABI:19931857430
- R Core-Team (2016), R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
URL: <http://www.R-project.org/>
- Ripley, B. and Lapsley, M. (2015), RODBC: ODBC Database Access. R package version 1.3-12.
URL: <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=RODBC>
- Shephard, S. and Rodda, K. (2001), 'Sustainability demands vigilance: Evidence for serial decline of the greenlip abalone fishery and a review of management', *Journal of Shellfish Research* **20**(2), 829–841.
- Shepherd, S. and Turner, J. (1985), 'Studies on southern australian abalone (genus *Haliotis*). vi. habitat preference, abundance and predators of juveniles', *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* **93**(3), 285 – 298.
URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/002209818590245X>
- Strain, E. A. and Johnson, C. (2012), 'Intensive fishing of marine consumers causes a dramatic shift in the benthic habitat on temperate rocky reefs', *Marine Biology* **159**(3), 533–547.
- Strain, E. M. A. and Johnson, C. R. (2009), 'Competition between an invasive urchin and commercially fished abalone: effect on body condition, reproduction and survivorship', *Mar Ecol Prog Ser* **377**, 169–182.
URL: <http://www.int-res.com/abstracts/meps/v377/p169-182/>
- Strain, E. M. A. and Johnson, C. R. (2010), 'Scale-dependent relationships between benthic habitat characteristics and abundances of blacklip abalone, *Haliotis rubra* (leach)', *Marine and Freshwater Research* **61**(11), 1227–1236. 680KI Times Cited:0 Cited References Count:29.
URL: <Go to ISI>://000284230400001
- Temby, N., Miller, K. and Mundy, C. (2007), 'Evidence of genetic subdivision among populations of blacklip abalone (*Haliotis rubra* leach) in tasmania', *Marine and Freshwater Research* **58**(8), 733–742. Temby, Nepelle Miller, Karen Mundy, Craig.
URL: <http://www.publish.csiro.au/MF/MF07015>
- Valentine, J. P., Tarbath, D. B., Frusher, S. D., Mundy, C. N. and Buxton, C. D. (2010), 'Limited evidence for ecosystem-level change on reefs exposed to *Haliotis rubra* ('blacklip abalone') exploitation', *Austral Ecology* **35**(7), 806–817.
URL: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1442-9993.2009.02088.x/abstract>

Appendix A

Interpreting trends in catch and catch rate, and the size composition of the commercial catch

A.1 The use of catch and catch rates to infer changes in abundance

This assessment is primarily based upon the interpretation of information produced from fishery catch data; both catch distribution and catch rates. It relies upon the assumption that trends in catch-rates reflect changes in abundance of the fishable stock, although no assumptions are made about the structure of that relationship (linear, precision). Despite CPUE being much discredited as an index of abalone abundance (e.g. (Breen, 1992; Prince and Shepherd, 1992; Shephard and Rodda, 2001)) it has been used with some success for many years in the Tasmanian fishery. There are several factors that adversely affect the relationship between CPUE and abalone abundance: biological (abalone behaviour and movement), environmental (sea conditions, habitat complexity) and fleet (skill of fishing team, experience, local knowledge). In particular the ability of fishers to maintain catch rates by changing their fishing patterns (more drops, swim further, swim faster) can lead to hyper-stability in catch rates, masking an underlying decline in stock abundance. If the effects of the above factors are understood and can be minimized then the reliability of CPUE as an index of abundance can be improved.

Abalone tend to aggregate in favourable habitat (e.g. gutters, sand-edges, shallow margins, ledges, boulder junctions), and a large proportion of abalone may be found in only a small area of each reef (Prince and Shepherd, 1992). When these aggregations are fished, the remaining abalone may over several weeks encounter vacated sites, renewing the aggregated structure. Thus reefs may become depleted while catch rates are maintained (McShane, 1995; Officer et al., 2001), and by the time catch rates start to decline rapidly abalone abundance will already have been greatly reduced (Prince and Shepherd, 1992).

Where abalone abundance is high and abalone are locally aggregated, catch rates are primarily a function of handling time (the time taken to detach abalone from the reef and transfer them to the boat). As abalone abundance decreases, and aggregations become smaller and further apart, search time increases, and fishers have to cover more reef area to harvest the same number of abalone. This is one of the key behavioural changes targeted with the geo-referenced effort data captured with the data logger program.

Serial depletion of reefs occurs when divers progressively reduce stock abundance on individual reefs, and maintain stable catch rates by moving between reefs (Prince and Shepherd, 1992). Identifying and detecting serial depletion is problematic as most divers adopt a strategy of returning to known sites in a given frequency, which may be once or twice per year, or once every few years. Serial

depletion in effect is a departure from the normal cycle of visitation, with either increasing frequency of visits, or abandoned sites when they become unviable for commercial fishing. These behavioural changes can only be detected with long term data on fishing activity at fine-spatial scales.

A.2 Change in fishing efficiency

The detection and avoidance of difficulties associated with improvement in fishing efficiency, or effort creep is a continuing problem when catch rates are used as an index of stock biomass or abundance when assessing fisheries. Catch rates (CPUE) and the stock biomass are assumed to be related: $CPUE = qB$, where q is the catchability coefficient and B is the exploitable biomass. If q increases through time in an unknown manner, through diving operations becoming more efficient, then the relationship between CPUE and biomass becomes altered to an unknown degree and the interpretation of CPUE as a measure of biomass becomes biased high.

One of the features of commercial fisheries is that fishermen almost always find ways to make their operations more efficient, and the abalone fishery has been no exception. Thus if stock levels are unchanged, efficiency gains allow more abalone to be collected per unit time now than in the past i.e. catchability increases. This leads to a rise in reported catch rates without an associated increase in abalone abundance, or alternatively it can lead to catch rates appearing to be stable while the stock abundance is declining. Two broad categories of causes of change in fishing efficiency have been identified in the Tasmanian abalone fishery – technological and behavioural.

Technological causes of change in fishing efficiency are usually easy to detect. For example, early in the history of the Tasmanian abalone fishery, divers anchored their boats, and often worked without a deckhand. Later, during the 1970's, the boats carried a deckhand who drove the boat and followed the diver, thus eliminating time spent swimming the catch from the reef to the anchored boat. It was estimated that the catching efficiency of divers doubled between the start of the fishery in the 1960's and 1982 (Harrison, 1983).

Possibly the greatest single improvement occurred during the late 1980's when divers widely adopted the practise of attaching their collecting nets to ropes lowered to them by their deckhands (droplines) and they no longer had to surface every time they filled their nets. This increased efficiency because:

- time spent ascending to the boat, unloading the catch and descending back to the reef was eliminated,
- the diver maintained his position on the productive part of the reef,
- catch bags could be reduced in size, which meant that divers could swim more easily and with less effort.

More recent technological changes to fishing operations include the increased use of GPS navigation systems, Nitrox breathing gases and diver propulsion vehicles (DPV). The extent of the usage of GPS navigators and associated plotting equipment by abalone divers is unknown, but it apparently has become much more widespread over the last five years. Nitrox gas mixing plants are currently used by only a few divers, but these divers are responsible for landing a large proportion of the catch in the regions where they work. DPVs are also not yet in common usage, but can help divers move more quickly between concentrations of abalone, particularly in deeper water.

Many divers reduce operating costs by teaming up with other divers and work from the same vessel, particularly when quota availability becomes reduced and they have comparatively small orders to fill e.g. following a TACC reduction. Team diving has the effect of reducing diver efficiency and team dive catch rates are generally lower than single diver catch rates, but increasing profitability because of cost-sharing between the divers. A comparison of annual mean catch rates from team divers compared with single divers during the period 2000-2014 found mean differences of 9 kg/hr (range

3-16 kg/hr) (fig. A.1). During this period, the percentage of team dives increased, from 15% in 2000, to 42% in 2011. The net effect of team diving over this period will lead to a reduction in the mean catch rate, independent of changes in stock levels. For this reason, team diving is included as a categorical variable in the statistical standardisation of catch rates.

Since 2007 divers have reported that the availability of improved forecasting of sea conditions was responsible for effort creep through improved catch rates, because they could choose to fish the West Coast when conditions were optimal. Previously they had travelled to the west when they hoped conditions were favourable, but often were not, and faced with the prospect of returning home with no catch, were obliged to fish in less favourable conditions with a greater likelihood of reduced catch rates.

The most recent Tasmanian study into the effects of effort creep on abalone catch rates was made using catch-effort data collected between 1975 and 2000, from Blocks 13 and 14. Using documented estimates of effort creep as guidelines (Buckworth, 1987; Haddon and Hodgson, 2000; Harrison, 1983), a series of plausible effort creep scenarios was constructed. Extrapolation of Harrison's (1983) estimate of effort creep (approximately 5% p.a.) caused an overall reduction in relative CPUE over the study period i.e. by removing the confounding effect caused by improvements in diver efficiency, catch rates were higher in 1975 than they were in 2000 (Tarbath et al., 2001). However, the overall relative trends in catch rate were only slightly altered when using the standardization (fig. A.2).




Figure A.1: Comparison between catch rates derived from catches by dive teams ("Team cpue") and by single divers ("Single cpue"), showing the percentage of the total catch taken by dive teams, from Block 13 (Eastern Zone), between 2000 and 2014. "Unspec.cpue" refers to catch rates where the number of divers could not be determined, which ranged 0-6% pa during the period.




Figure A.2: Relative CPUE indices for Block 13, 1975-2000. Model 1 is the raw geometric mean of CPUE. The three effort creep scenarios considered are: (i) 2% per annum; (ii) 5% per annum; and (iii) 10% per annum. All values of CPUE are relative to 1975 (Tarbath et al., 2001)

Appendix B

Early abalone production 1960-1981

Annual tonnages of abalone production from Tasmania have been reproduced from "Summary of Statistics – Tasmania", Abalone Situation Report 10, Demersal Mollusc Research Group, published by CSIRO, 1982. Tasmanian Year Book totals were published each year from 1967 by the Commonwealth Bureau of Census and Statistics (annual totals from 1964 were reported in the 1967 edition). are shown below. All three totals (Diver Returns, Processor Returns, Tasmanian Year Book) were reported by financial year. Abalone catch prior to 1968 was reported by divers in general fish returns as miscellaneous catch, and annual totals are incomplete. Catches are believed to have been substantially under-reported between 1960 and 1981 (i.e. catch totals were higher than shown here). Processor receipts were from Tasmanian processors only: much of the early catch was freighted to interstate processors and is not included amongst these processor receipts. Little or no processing was done in Tasmania prior to 1964. The source of the Tasmanian Year Book totals was not reported.

Year	Diver ^a _P returns	Processor ^b _P returns	Tasmanian Year Book ^c _P
1960	*	*	**
1961	*	*	**
1962	*	*	**
1963	*	*	**
1964	*	49	33
1965	*	225	225
1966	412	753	727
1967	1,050	1,722	2,003
1968	1,966	2,354	2,792
1969	1,894	2,139	2,113
1970	2,297	2,613	2,613
1971	2,504	3,488	3,495
1972	2,287	2,971	2,977
1973	1,703	2,174	2,172
1974	1,883	2,106	2,060
1975	1,919	2,108	2,108
1976	2,289	2,429	2,429
1977	2,263	2,368	2,368
1978	2,823	2,524	2,525
1979	2,762	3,100	3,100
1980	3,391	3,204	3,214
1981	3,800	3,621	3,743

* Records unavailable. ** Records not published.

Appendix C

Annual Catches by Zone – 1975 to 2016

Table C.1: Annual tonnages of blacklip abalone caught within the statistical blocks and sub-blocks comprising the Eastern Zone in 2016 (sub-blocks 13C, 13D, 13E, Blocks 14 to 30 and sub-block 31A). Pre-zoning catches cannot be accurately assigned to zones in blocks where zone boundaries occur (Blocks 13 and 31). Catches in those blocks are reported as Eastern Zone because the majority of later catches occurred there. Any discrepancies between totals and sums of component blocks are due to rounding.

Year	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1974	163	179	3	40	15		6	5	20	30
1975	247	112	10	47	11	0	0	16	27	49
1976	208	156	0	64	36		1	18	26	45
1977	245	232	2	190	11	0	1	23	35	37
1978	322	218	6	119	24	0	1	32	65	60
1979	374	251	8	147	25	0	2	51	52	43
1980	272	255	7	145	30		1	33	30	42
1981	254	299	18	127	48	1	4	45	69	35
1982	337	218	15	147	24	1	3	36	62	63
1983	252	300	10	189	28		3	43	63	55
1984	318	297	18	166	35	0	5	47	70	73
1985	256	262	4	89	83	0	11	69	80	43
1986	221	262	22	82	93	2	4	65	66	70
1987	224	229	7	47	80	1	1	43	44	32
1988	219	258	6	76	57	1	4	62	44	43
1989	156	172	2	56	43	0	2	61	42	22
1990	133	193	4	76	29	0	3	33	51	40
1991	127	207	2	60	37	3	3	53	50	47
1992	140	106	3	28	20	0	2	51	43	48
1993	257	116	4	100	40	0	1	59	78	48
1994	295	139	10	114	46	1	1	109	80	55
1995	310	247	1	100	35	0	1	95	74	34
1996	391	195	0	78	18		3	71	55	44
1997	471	137	0	64	25	1	2	79	49	47
1998	485	111	1	118	22	2	2	85	66	63
1999	491	66	2	113	35	5	6	102	72	50
2000	381	97	2	71	29		4	62	60	69
2001	323	157	3	108	20	1	2	56	50	40
2002	297	101	1	72	16	0	1	62	58	46
2003	291	116	2	59	17	1	1	88	54	36
2004	221	104	7	50	20		2	92	52	35
2005	181	90	8	56	20		3	116	62	36
2006	183	84	3	67	13	0	2	73	66	71
2007	255	70	0	56	8		6	68	63	61
2008	340	56	1	64	8		0	50	61	56
2009	340	63	1	52	20		1	51	52	91
2010	341	70	1	38	10	0	2	71	59	72
2011	359	15	0	37	8		1	30	47	54
2012	268	22		14	8	0	1	21	23	60
2013	199	22		24	9		1	21	27	56
2014	180	38		27	10		2	38	30	43
2015	227	26		26	11		2	29	45	36
2016	253	64	0	24	23		4	31	18	14

Table C.1: Eastern Zone continued

Year	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	Total
1974	68	18	10	0	8	103	50	102	35	857
1975	74	15	16	5	44	69	16	44	32	835
1976	56	18	12	9	40	72	9	37	50	857
1977	53	11	10	8	55	90	22	119	54	1196
1978	88	22	13	11	93	87	25	137	105	1432
1979	30	9	23	7	80	52	12	105	60	1331
1980	46	158	34	7	108	91	27	148	105	1538
1981	77	137	19	15	68	154	22	146	52	1587
1982	49	97	20	9	89	100	32	170	48	1520
1983	92	99	31	14	99	103	65	296	90	1831
1984	61	109	10	11	106	112	52	147	76	1715
1985	44	120	20	17	86	71	5	85	171	1516
1986	56	88	12	20	50	58	14	124	164	1475
1987	34	66	12	8	76	45	11	67	54	1083
1988	34	79	10	6	65	52	16	95	97	1225
1989	16	34	7	8	41	31	11	39	27	770
1990	36	61	1	2	61	77	21	54	22	898
1991	31	67	2	9	64	66	12	30	21	893
1992	23	67	1	1	67	44	7	10	13	673
1993	24	73	1	1	86	39	8	15	15	963
1994	16	53		3	103	24	8	11	21	1089
1995	19	38		1	81	18	6	10	26	1097
1996	28	67	3	6	89	39	11	28	20	1147
1997	32	106	1	13	190	32	32	23	33	1336
1998	44	161	2	25	181	77	31	10	15	1502
1999	53	143	0	9	94	60	26	11	39	1377
2000	44	105	1	8	101	16	21	10	74	1155
2001	24	111	1	13	68	9	27	13	66	1092
2002	15	46	0	2	53	7	15	12	43	847
2003	21	51		3	50	8	19	3	28	849
2004	19	51	1	1	44	11	24	6	22	761
2005	18	66		0	43	13	36	7	15	770
2006	22	88	1	1	40	10	41		7	771
2007	14	59		1	55	11	32		4	765
2008	9	68		1	48	6	28		10	805
2009	22	62			50	5	26	2	13	850
2010	20	67		0	38	6	20	3	67	884
2011	17	37	0	1	35	5	16	4	42	709
2012	14	22			14	2	19	5	49	543
2013	38	39			7	8	51	7	15	524
2014	23	38	0		19	1	47	6	19	522
2015	19	22		0	20	4	34	5	19	523
2016	17	20			15	3	17	3	15	520

Table C.2: Annual tonnages of blacklip abalone caught within the statistical blocks and sub-blocks comprising the Western Zone in 2016 (Blocks 9 to 12, Sub-blocks 13A, 13B). Pre-zoning (1975-1999) catches from Block 13 are reported in the Eastern Zone. Any discrepancies between totals and sums of components are due to rounding.

Year	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	Total
1974		1		3	24	82	143		254
1975		36	42	126	130	191	143		668
1976		56	77	253	179	240	153		958
1977		24	22	123	99	153	190		610
1978		13	27	115	257	275	207		893
1979		19	23	171	166	270	326		975
1980		82	66	317	195	336	350		1346
1981		87	84	443	260	417	246		1537
1982		34	34	249	100	302	235		954
1983		102	58	199	175	431	242		1206
1984		78	38	248	284	681	258		1587
1985		99	23	246	140	479	155		1142
1986		97	11	133	127	289	194		851
1987		84	44	251	82	339	195		995
1988		53	27	160	126	276	162		805
1989		49	46	120	109	212	145		682
1990		56	21	95	80	233	125		610
1991		54	30	102	106	219	140		650
1992		69	36	90	95	265	159		714
1993		64	38	110	65	196	177		649
1994		33	38	77	60	201	160		569
1995		30	17	44	68	186	182		526
1996		67	13	59	75	145	145		504
1997		75	28	140	66	222	227		757
1998		50	27	78	47	165	204		571
1999		60	24	115	58	220	251		729
2000	21	61	23	205	148	326	281	54	1119
2001	49	32	15	186	150	311	291	43	1076
2002	31	52	17	174	142	359	236	93	1104
2003	34	104	27	142	237	346	230	67	1188
2004	24	89	22	130	183	375	248	96	1168
2005	26	110	26	92	149	389	311	65	1167
2006	50	75	6	143	198	384	230	90	1176
2007	34	39	18	178	228	354	266	67	1184
2008	35	51	9	156	178	342	304	79	1155
2009	46	104	51	155	109	240	321	77	1102
2010	23	110	37	158	156	239	276	68	1067
2011	17	95	48	171	157	247	257	56	1047
2012	59	97	19	172	146	270	267	44	1073
2013	11	44	8	158	180	287	252	41	981
2014	34	44	5	98	142	220	249	37	829
2015	32	65	11	89	115	240	245	34	831
2016	19	31	12	62	77	168	297	34	700

Table C.3: Annual tonnages of blacklip abalone caught within the statistical blocks and sub-blocks comprising the Central Western Zone (Sub-block 6A, 6B, 6C) in 2016. Catches from Block 5 prior to 2001 are reported in the Northern Zone, catches from sub-block 6D from 2000 are reported in the Western Zone. Previous reports listed catch from sub-block 5D. These catches are actually from sub-block 6A and have been re-coded as 6A for this assessment. Any discrepancies between totals and sums of components are due to rounding.

Year	6	Total
1974	7	7
1975	111	111
1976	63	63
1977	50	50
1978	79	79
1979	113	113
1980	196	196
1981	256	256
1982	146	146
1983	231	231
1984	298	298
1985	322	322
1986	213	213
1987	185	185
1988	244	244
1989	192	192
1990	197	197
1991	169	169
1992	233	233
1993	152	152
1994	79	79
1995	112	112
1996	103	103
1997	98	98
1998	129	129
1999	149	149
2000	169	169
2001	162	162
2002	143	143
2003	62	62
2004	67	67
2005	75	75
2006	62	62
2007	42	42
2008	70	70
2009	95	95
2010	128	128
2011	136	136
2012	128	128
2013	101	101
2014	72	72
2015	52	52
2016	42	42

Table C.4: Annual tonnages of blacklip abalone caught within the statistical blocks and sub-blocks comprising the Northern Zone in 2016 (Blocks 1 to 4, Sub-blocks 5A, 5B, 5C, 31B, Blocks 39 to 40 and Blocks 47 to 49). There are no records for the Northern Zone part of Block 31 prior to the creation of the zone in 2001. Any discrepancies between totals and sums of components are due to rounding

Year	1	2	3	4	5	31	39	40	47	48	49	Total
1974	52	3	20	4	13		4	1	2	3	9	112
1975	33	1	28	15	38		2	1	1	12	10	141
1976	38	0	50	8	46		5	0	1	12	33	193
1977	17	1	87	8	51		6	2		8	17	196
1978	21	3	55	25	65		8	2	3	10	11	204
1979	24	2	10	9	85		6	1		27	7	172
1980	51	3	33	3	92		3	1		10	1	198
1981	19	8	32	9	120		6	2	3	33	10	241
1982	22	9	27	13	121		5	2	1	45	7	253
1983	22	2	31	52	228		7	4	9	45	19	418
1984	10	1	33	55	312		6	3	4	80	44	549
1985	43	0	26	11	319		5	1	4	48	50	508
1986	35	4	24	13	267		10	5	15	85	97	556
1987	44	62	24	54	198		6	1	18	58	67	529
1988	29	17	22	60	168		3	1	18	36	41	394
1989	14	7	10	5	88		1	1	14	15	24	179
1990	11	10	9	11	82		0	0	6	14	20	164
1991	6	7	14	26	97		1		8	12	10	182
1992	2	3	9	8	75		4		3	10	11	125
1993	8	3	7	9	65		0	0	1	7	7	106
1994	15	1	4	1	48		0		0	7	12	89
1995	11	3	1	8	62		0	0	0	6	2	94
1996	7	2	1	2	63		0	0		4	0	80
1997	10	1	10	6	56		1			6	2	91
1998	3	1	0	2	60		0	1		7	3	77
1999	5	1	6	6	56		5	0		14	4	96
2000	0		9	10	39	16	5	2		12	25	118
2001	2	1	12	12	118	25	11	3		17	74	275
2002	10	2	35	16	104	30	4	3		12	48	264
2003	25	1	63	10	73	6	8	1	0	10	76	271
2004	10	0	88	34	55	13	6	1	0	6	62	276
2005	15	2	91	17	73	11	2	0	0	6	54	271
2006	12	2	57	8	96	17	4	0	0	5	57	261
2007	6	0	49	3	89	55	11		0	6	59	277
2008	5		26	10	163	29	5			7	74	319
2009	10	0	30	6	171	20	9	0		4	74	324
2010	5	1	44	24	133	37	5			8	72	330
2011	17	1	86	6	155	24	5			8	103	404
2012	3	0	72	9	98	30	5	0	0	9	151	378
2013	22	0	69	2	60	25	7	0		14	113	312
2014	17	1	59	3	54	40	4			8	89	275
2015	13	4	38	6	59	26	5			8	68	226
2016	8	3	44	8	56	26	4		0	7	32	188

Table C.5: Annual tonnages of blacklip abalone caught within statistical blocks comprising the Bass Strait Zone in 2016 (Blocks 32-38, 41-46, 50-57). The fishery was temporarily closed in 2007. Any discrepancies between totals and sums of components are due to rounding.

Year	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	41	42	43	44
1974				3							
1975	1	10	1	7	7		2	0			
1976		5		1	1		0			1	
1977	6	11		0	3	1	2	0			
1978	1	5	2	6	5	0	4			1	
1979	2	9	0	0	2	1	2	0			
1980	2	6	1	1	2	1	0	1		0	
1981	1	6	1	1	0	2				1	
1982	0	6	1	0	2	1	4				
1983	0	3	0	1	5	1	3				
1984	0	7	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	1	
1985	3	6	1	2	1		0	2	0	2	0
1986	0	9	2	3	2	1	1	1	0	4	
1987	0	7	0	2	1	2	1	2		8	1
1988	0	11	1	1	0	0			0	2	1
1989	0	3	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0
1990	0	1		0	1	0		0	0		
1991		2		0	0	0	0	0			
1992	0	2	0	0	0	0					0
1993	0	3	0	0	0	0	0				
1994	0	3	0	0		0	0				
1995	0	0	0	0	0	1		0			
1996		0		0				0			
1997	0	0		0							
1998	0	2									
1999	0	4		0	0	0	1				
2000	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0			0
2001	5	10	1	0	0	0	3				
2002	1	11	1	0	0	0	2				0
2003	0	5	0	0	0	6	2	1	2	2	0
2004	0	3	0	0	0	3	1	4	4	1	
2005		7	0	0	0	6	2	4	1	2	
2006	0	11	0	0	0	20	10	1	4	5	
2007		2		3							
2008	1	5	0		0	19	6	1	2	5	1
2009	2	4	0	1	0	10	1	5	2	8	6
2010	0	20	0	0	0	1	26	1	0	3	0
2011	0	23	0	1		1	19	1	0	2	0
2012	1	14	0	0		4	17	0		3	1
2013	0	18	1	0	0	4	14			5	1
2014	1	19	1	1	2	2	16	0		5	1
2015	1	15	0	0	1	2	25	1	1	6	1
2016	0	20	0	0	4	2	18	1	2	5	0

Table C.5: Bass Strait Zone continued

Year	45	46	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	Total
1974											3
1975											29
1976											9
1977											23
1978											22
1979		3									20
1980											13
1981											12
1982		0									14
1983		0									14
1984		3									16
1985											18
1986	0	1									25
1987		0									26
1988		1									18
1989	0	1									7
1990											2
1991		0									3
1992											3
1993		0	0								4
1994											4
1995			1	0	0	3				0	5
1996											0
1997											0
1998											2
1999											6
2000											7
2001										2	21
2002							0			0	17
2003	0	2		7	2	36	2		7	2	78
2004	0	1		1	0	42	5	2	7		75
2005		0		8	0	35	5	3	3	0	78
2006		5		3	0	23	5	2	1	1	91
2007											5
2008	1	3	0	1		27			6		78
2009	1	1		5		24	1		4	2	78
2010	1	1		2		13					68
2011	1	1		3		14			2		68
2012	1	1		2		15	2		5		68
2013	1	0		5		18	3				69
2014	1	0		3	0	11	2			0	66
2015	1	0		1		9	2		4		70
2016	0			12		10					75

Table C.6: Annual tonnages of greenlip abalone caught from the Greenlip fishery. Greenlip are taken from northern Tasmanian waters, and the fishery is managed by species. Occasionally, small amounts of catch (< 1 t) are taken from Blocks 50-57. Any discrepancies between totals and sums of components are due to rounding.

Year	1	2	3	4	5	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37
1974		2		1	17	1	6	3	9	3	13	5	
1975		3		1	8	0	7	3	17	14	49	69	14
1976	0	0	0		14	1	14	1	26	11	55	49	2
1977	0	0		0	17	3	6	6	23	21	50	24	1
1978	1	3	0	2	12	0	8	4	12	17	51	38	7
1979	0	0		0	8	2	11	10	21	8	46	15	4
1980	0	3			5		4	7	15	3	29	13	4
1981		12	0	4	9		6	12	17	17	34	10	9
1982	0	14		2	2	1	27	4	13	14	29	7	9
1983	0	9	0	5	9	2	23	4	21	8	34	9	4
1984	0	7	1	5	11	1	50	9	27	15	56	7	6
1985	0	1	0	1	3	6	53	9	20	15	42	4	7
1986	1	8		3	5	2	39	4	14	7	36	2	10
1987	13	125	5	69	8	1	32	8	20	10	30	8	10
1988	3	33	2	12	10	1	35	8	23	5	28	13	6
1989	1	70	3	10	6	1	22	4	16	2	22	10	3
1990	2	49	3	13	11	0	23	4	9	3	25	6	1
1991	2	29	3	16	12		20	4	7	2	31	6	3
1992	3	21	0	8	4		15	3	4	1	18	6	2
1993	1	17	0	9	2	0	9	1	4	2	16	8	3
1994	4	25		7	10		12	3	8	1	17	5	3
1995	14	9	0	12	8	1	24	2	7	3	15	3	3
1996	37	33	1	13	3		11	3	13	4	17	2	8
1997	35	33	0	6	6		17	8	13	1	12	4	11
1998	33	34	0	5	14		4	5	6	1	23	1	2
1999	21	25	1	10	10		6	2	17	1	15	1	2
2000	2	4	1	3	13		12	8	10	2	14	3	2
2001	8	8	1	2	3		7	15	14	2	9	4	1
2002	11	6	1	7	7		17	4	16	2	8	2	2
2003	15	11	3	4	10		18	5	16	1	11	2	1
2004	15	10	5	4	11		10	4	4	1	13	3	1
2005	16	5	4	3	12		6	2	12	1	10	3	1
2006	11	9	2	5	8		3	5	5	1	11	1	4
2007	10	7	3	6	9		20	3	6	1	13	2	0
2008	4	10	1	5	5		13	3	6	1	12	4	3
2009	8	8	3	6	5		13	2	5	1	13	2	2
2010	11	11	5	5	8		16	5	13	2	10	0	0
2011	6	9	9	4	5		13	5	5	2	13	4	2
2012	2	6	3	4	3		20	3	17	3	19	1	1
2013	2	12	2	4	5		14	8	23	2	17	2	1
2014	2	14	2	4	7		14	10	18	2	20	3	3
2015	4	13	1	5	6		24	7	16	2	14	2	2
2016	3	17	0	4	4		16	6	11	0	20	2	2

Table C.6: Greenlip Zone continued

Year	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	51	52	53	Total
1974		9	14	9		0				1	8					100
1975	11	3	4	2		0					7	2				214
1976	10	2	9	2		0					8	6				213
1977	22	8	4	1		1	0		0		40	2				228
1978	17	1	2			1				1	13	3				193
1979	4	6	2	1							11	0				149
1980	4	3	5	0		0		0			6					101
1981	0	4	2	0		2				3	12	1				155
1982	9	1	3							2	7					141
1983	8	2							0	14	40	11				203
1984	0	8	4	0	0	1			2	52	60	2				324
1985	7	5	4	1	0	1			1	12	36	3				231
1986		8	7	0	0	2		0	1	57	35	14				257
1987	7	12	1	1		9	5		1	37	33	3				447
1988		2	1	1		2		0	7	35	28	5				263
1989		5	2	5	1	2		0	6	20	27	4				242
1990	3	7	0	2					4	21	27	11				223
1991	0	6		1		0	0		8	13	32	6				201
1992		9			1		0		2	3	14	2				117
1993		2	0					0	2	2	25	3	0		0	110
1994	0	1								3	48	3				149
1995	9	6	2	1						5	23	5				151
1996	12	13	2	0					0	1	15	0				191
1997	15	22	1							1	28	3				215
1998	2	17	25	0		1				2	43	8	0			225
1999	4	2	4								20	1				142
2000	2	16	12			0				0	24	13				141
2001	0	20	4	0						0	35	10				143
2002	9	13	2								27	7				141
2003	3	17	1			0					14	10		0	0	142
2004	11	22	0			0					15	6				134
2005	15	13	1	0		0					19	1	0		0	122
2006	13	13	0		0	0			0	0	29	2	0		0	124
2007	5	14								0	21	3				124
2008	5	12	0	0		0					33	3				121
2009	2	20	1	0		0	0		0		26	2			0	123
2010	4	9	0								30	5				133
2011	12	11	0	1		0	0			0	31	5				140
2012	3	13	0	0							36	6			0	140
2013	4	9	1			0				0	32	3			0	141
2014	4	7				0	0				24	6		0	0	140
2015	1	9		0		0	0				32	5				144
2016	1	16	2			0				0	32	3	0		0	139

Appendix D

History of Management Changes

This history has been compiled from a number of sources, principal among which has been DPIPWE's Abalone Management Plans.

1962	Legal minimum length (LML) of 5 inches (127 mm) minimum shell diameter introduced.
1964	LML increased to 6 inches (152 mm).
1965	LML reduced to 5 inches. Introduction of commercial abalone diving licenses. All abalone to be landed live (no processing at sea). Skippers of boats engaged in abalone fishing required to lodge monthly fish returns as part of their license conditions.
1966	Abalone processing factories required to record the number of persons from whom abalone were bought.
1967	Abalone divers required to carry a measuring device to measure the abalone before taking them. Special penalty introduced for possession of undersized abalone at \$1 per fish. Abalone to be sold in live condition to registered processors only.
1968	Abalone catch returns were introduced. These recorded daily catches and effort by reporting block, and were lodged monthly by the skipper (not necessarily a diver) of an abalone fishing vessel. More than one diver's catch could be reported on a return. These returns replaced the general fish return on which earlier catches were reported.
1969	License limitation introduced. Rapid expansion of the fishery led to this first attempt to control effort. Only divers fishing the previous year were licensed to fish in 1969. This figure (120 divers) was maintained in subsequent years.
1971	Only licensed divers allowed to dive from a boat engaged in abalone fishing. Unusually prolonged calm sea conditions and warm water were associated with a widespread die-off of abalone and rock lobster between the Arthur River and Granville Harbour. Substantial quantities of both species were reported killed.
1972	License transfer from a retiring diver to his nominee allowable on grounds of health problems. Annual license fees calculated as 1.5% of the mean of the previous three years value of annual production. An additional five licenses were issued to divers living in the Furneaux Group. These divers were restricted to fishing the Furneaux Group, but the other 120 divers were not prevented from fishing there. Penalties for breaches of regulations in relation to abalone fishing increased. Permit to transfer licenses between divers revoked.
1974	License transfer from a retiring diver to his nominee permitted. Computerised catch records started from July 1974.
1979	Penalties for breaches of regulations in relation to abalone fishing increased, with special penalties rising to \$2 per fish. Identification cards for divers introduced.
1982	Penalties for breaches of regulations in relation to abalone fishing increased, with special penalties rising to \$10 per fish. Catch restricted by marketing crisis: processors limit divers to 24 tonnes pa.
1983	Penalties for breaches of regulations in relation to abalone fishing increased. Easing of market difficulties sees lifting of processor applied catch restrictions.

1985	Individual transferable quota (ITQ) and a total allowable catch (TACC) were introduced. Each of the 120 general license divers were allocated 28 units of quota, the Furneaux Group divers 20 units: therefore there were 3460 units. For 1985, the quota unit was set at 1100 kg i.e. the TACC was 3806 tonnes. – This amount was derived from an estimate of average catches, with a 10% bonus granted by the Minister to compensate for any financial difficulties caused by the new system. License fees were increased to 2.5% of the value of the annual landed catch, for each quota unit held. Quota unit transfers between Furneaux divers and non-Furneaux divers were prohibited. The 120 Tasmanian mainland divers were prohibited from diving in the Furneaux group. Divers were required to own at least 16 units, but could accumulate no more than 80. The catch (kg) per quota unit was determined by the Liaison Committee based upon advice from the Government researchers. Catch dockets recording the catch weight landed by individual divers were introduced.
1986	Annual license fees set at 5% of value of annual landed catch. The catch per ITQ was reduced to 1000 kg (9% reduction) i.e. TACC was 3460 tonnes.
1987	LML increased to 132 mm from 127 mm. The catch per ITQ was reduced to 950 kg (5% reduction) i.e. TACC was 3287 tonnes.
1988	The catch per ITQ was reduced to 855 kg (5% reduction) i.e. TACC was 2958.3 tonnes. The minimum legal weight for abalone meats was set at 90 g.
1989	The catch per ITQ was reduced to 600 kg (30% reduction) i.e. TACC was 2076 tonnes. A fishery for abalone in Bass Strait was held in April, with a LML of 110 mm and a maximum size limit of 132 mm. Each diver was limited to 2.4 tonnes, with 198 tonnes caught. The fishery was free of fees, and while only licensed abalone divers could participate, was held to be distinct from the Tasmanian abalone fishery (hence the maximum size limit). The minimum meat weight regulation of 90g was amended to apply only to blacklip abalone.
1990	LML for blacklip abalone on south and west coasts between the Wild Wave River (north of Sandy Cape) and Whale Head increased to 140 mm. LML for greenlip in Furneaux Group waters increased to 140 mm. Furneaux Group boundary removed. The Furneaux Group divers were issued with an extra 8 units each, which could only be fished by the divers themselves and were not transferable. This increased the number of units in the fishery to 3500, and the TACC to 2100 tonnes.
1991	A fishery for abalone in Bass Strait was held in May, with a LML of 118 mm. The TACC was 110 tonnes, with a fee of \$1.40 per kg of quota. The license system was restructured: the diving entitlement was uncoupled from the entitlement to hold quota units and the lower and upper limits on the amount of units held was abolished.
1992	Minimum meat weight for greenlip was set at 70 g. Development of DPIF's compliance catch database (SEALSPROD) that enabled auditing of catch from vessel to factory.
1993	A fishery for abalone in Bass Strait was held in May and June, with a LML of 110 mm. The TACC was 100 tonnes, with a fee of \$5.00 per kg of quota. Minimum meat weight regulation amended to 90g for all abalone other than greenlip. Penalties reviewed and significantly increased, with the option of prison terms for serious and repeat offenders. Special penalties increased to \$50 per fish.
1994	Quota owners were given the choice of continuing with their annual abalone licenses or entering into a Deed of Agreement that applied for 10 years with the right of renewal for perpetuity. 90% of owners chose the Deed of Agreement. The Deed of Agreement set a fee structure that included both management costs and return to the community, based upon an increasing (but non-linear) proportion of beach price. At \$6/kg, no fees were payable, at \$35/kg fees were 10% at and at \$200/kg, fees were 33% of beach price.

1995	A fishery for abalone in Bass Strait was held in May and June, with a LML of 110 mm. Only 12 commercial divers (i.e. non-abalone) participated. While the TACC was 100 tonnes, only 21 tonnes was taken. The fee was \$10.00 per kg of quota. Another Bass Strait fishery was held in November, with both abalone and commercial divers participating. The LML was 100 mm, and the TACC was set at 140 tonnes, with a fee of \$10/kg. Only 106 tonnes was taken before the fishery was closed. It was maintained by divers that a very high proportion of the fishable biomass had been taken, and that continuing the fishery could affect the sustainability of stocks.
1996	The <i>Living Marine Resources Management Act 1995</i> was introduced. Trigger points were introduced by DPIF to initiate a management response if catch and catch rates changed by a pre-determined quantity with respect to those from two earlier reference periods.
1997	The TACC was increased to 2520 tonnes (720 kg per quota unit). Difference in beach price between east coast and west coast blacklip first appears – is initially \$2.00.
1998	The first abalone Fishery Management Plan was introduced. Among changes that it introduced were catch monitoring, which included: 1. Pre-fishing reporting by divers. 2. Post-fishing reporting of catch by divers and processors. 3. Processors required to maintain a daily balance of stock in, stock out and stock on hand. 4. Processors to report prior to movement of stock out and on receipt of stock. 5. Reports to be made by telephone, where information was immediately available to Compliance Audit Unit and Tasmania Police. For several years, greenlip abalone had attracted premium beach prices, causing a diversion of effort to that species. To enhance protection, a number of management changes were made: • For management purposes, the greenlip fishery was subdivided into two regions: the Furneaux Group and the remainder (North West, North East and King Island) • LML was raised to 140 mm state-wide (except the North West, which was left at 132 mm), • The annual catch for the Furneaux Group was capped at 42 t based on estimates of sustainable yield. This cap was managed monthly, so that where more than one twelfth of the annual cap (3.5 t) was taken in any month, the Minister could close the fishery until the next month. Within the Furneaux Group, several other rules were introduced to reduce effort: • Divers could only work two days per week. Originally, the days were fixed, but because this forced divers to work in often hazardous conditions, divers were allowed to nominate which two days they could work. • A 200 kg/day bag limit was introduced, as was a 200 kg/day landing limit. This effectively meant that catch was not held on motherships overnight. • These rules were repealed in 1999. • The greenlip catch from the remainder of the State was to be limited to 106 tonnes. • Because the Department was unable to monitor catch closely enough, the monthly Furneaux Group catch usually overran its limit, and the fishery there was closed in August when the regional cap was met. The greenlip cap in the rest of the State was also overrun. Vessels over 10 m landing abalone at Smithton or Stanley had to make a prior report to the CAU reporting service so that Tasmania Police could inspect their catch. Fixed trigger points were abandoned as an assessment strategy as rising catch and catch rates indiscriminately fired triggers. Assessments have since used catch and catch rate trends to monitor stock levels. A new compliance catch database (LMM/QMS) introduced by DPIWE

1999	LML for greenlip raised to 140 mm in North West, and 150 mm for the remainder. This applied to the commercial fishery only, the LML for recreational fishers remaining at 140 mm. The greenlip fishery was divided into east (Furneaux Group and North East) and west (King Island and North West) with quarterly caps of 17 tonnes and 20 tonnes respectively. Overrun of caps led to a closure of the greenlip fishery in October. Within the Furneaux Group, Block 35 was closed to fishing between 1 October and 31 March to protect spawning abalone.
2000	The blacklip fishery was divided into two East and West management zones with boundaries at Whale Head and Port Sorell. The greenlip fishery was managed separately. Eastern blacklip units were set at 340 kg (TACC 1190 t), Western units at 400 kg (1400 t) and greenlip units at 40 kg (140 t), with a TACC for the whole fishery of 2730 tonnes. Size limits for blacklip abalone remained unchanged. The zone boundaries meant that the Western Zone had a size limit of 140 mm from Whale Head to the Wild Wave River and 132 mm from there to Port Sorell. Following egg-per-recruit studies by researchers, LML for King Island greenlip was raised to 155 mm, 140 mm for North West and 145 for both the North East and the Furneaux Group. The Block 35 (Franklin Sound - Furneaux Group) greenlip catch was capped at 20 tonnes. Catch were reported on a smaller spatial scale with the introduction of sub-blocks state-wide. Owners of fishing license (abalone dive) were allowed to hold more than one license and allow others to dive those licenses as supervisors.
2001	The Northern Zone (between Arthur River in the west and Musselroe Point in the east) for blacklip abalone was established, with a LML of 127 mm except between Woolnorth Point and the Arthur River, where 132 mm prevailed. Catch per unit was 80 kg, with a TACC of 280 t. Because the Northern Zone covered coast that was previously included in the two other blacklip zones, catch for those zones was proportionally reduced, with a further allowance for declining Eastern Zone stocks. The TACC for the West was set at 1260 t (360kg/unit), and the East at 1120 t (320 kg/unit). The greenlip TACC remained at 140 tonnes, so production from the entire fishery was 2800 t, or 800 kg/unit. In association with establishment of Northern Zone, research monitoring areas were set aside at the Inner Sister, Swan Island, Waterwitch Reef, and the Doughboys. LML's for recreational divers were changed to 132 mm for blacklip state-wide, and 145 mm for greenlip in all areas except the North West, which remained at 140 mm. The regional catch for the greenlip fishery was limited in three of the main regions. The North West catch was capped at 40 t, the North East at 30 t, while the Furneaux Group catch remained fixed at 42 t. Catch from King Island and the Bass Strait islands (Kent, Curtis, Hogan Groups) was not capped.
2002	Production for the whole fishery was set at 2537.5 t (725 kg/unit). LML for Eastern Zone was increased to 136 mm. LML for greenlip on King Island was reduced to 150 mm. LML for greenlip in the North West was increased to 145 mm. Eastern Zone TACC reduced to 857.5 t (245 kg/unit). Western Zone TACC remained 1260 t (360 kg/unit). Northern Zone TACC remained 280 t (80 kg/unit). Greenlip TACC remained 140 t (40 kg/unit). Catch from the Actaeons (sub-blocks 13C, D and E) was capped at 350 t, managed firstly as a half-yearly cap, then quarterly. The fishery there was closed in September and then mid-October when those caps were reached.

2003	Fishery production was set at 2607.5 t (745 kg/unit) state-wide. Eastern Zone TACC remained 857.5 t (245 kg/unit). Western Zone TACC remained 1260 t (360 kg/unit). Northern Zone TACC remained 280 t (80 kg/unit). Greenlip TACC remained 140 t (40 kg/unit). Bass Strait Zone TACC set at 70 t (20 kg/unit). A Bass Strait blacklip zone (TACC 70 tonnes (20kg/unit), LML of 114 mm) was created within the Northern Zone in central Bass Strait and part of the Furneaux Group. Its purpose was to enable the catching of abalone smaller than allowed by the Northern Zone size limit. The Bass Strait Boundaries were set at Cowrie Point in the west and Anderson Bay in the east. The Flinders Island boundaries were on an unnamed point north of Settlement Point on the western side of the island (40°00'36.32") and Foochow Inlet on the east. Blacklip catch from Block 5 (Northern Zone) was capped at 100 t. LML for Western Zone between the Wild Wave River and Arthur River was increased to 136 mm from 132 mm. Abalone taken from Western Zone subject to upper size limit of 160 mm by canners and live market buyers. Note that this was not rigidly enforced and market sampling showed most samples contained many abalone over this size.
2004	Fishery production was set at 2509.5 t (717 kg/unit) state-wide. Eastern Zone TACC reduced to 770 t (220 kg/unit). Western Zone TACC remained 1260 t (360 kg/unit). Northern Zone TACC remained 280 t (80 kg/unit). Greenlip TACC reduced to 129.5 t (37 kg/unit). Bass Strait Zone TACC remained 70 t (20 kg/unit). The greenlip TACC reduction affected the North West only, where the annual cap was reduced by 10 t to 30 t. October-March closure for Franklin Sound greenlip fishery abolished. Block 35 cap reduced from 20 t to 15 t.
2005	Fishery production was set at 2502.5 t (715 kg/unit) state-wide. Eastern Zone TACC remained 770 t (220 kg/unit). Western Zone TACC remained 1260 t (360 kg/unit). Northern Zone TACC remained 280 t (80 kg/unit). Greenlip TACC reduced to 122.5 t (35 kg/unit). Bass Strait Zone TACC remained 70 t (20 kg/unit). The greenlip TACC reduction affected the North East only, where the annual cap was reduced by 7 t to 23 t. Team diving (sharing catch from one quota unit by two divers) was introduced to legitimise the practise of divers catching abalone for others when they held no quota to which their catch could be assigned. Team dive dockets were submitted by teams, but not computerised. High grading (discarding large abalone in the catch from the deck) prohibited. Caufing of abalone (holding abalone in cages at sea) was prohibited. Introduction of cancellation reports where a prior reported trip is cancelled. Introduction of single (blacklip) zone fishing provisions. Overcatch provisions introduced to cover unintentional underestimation of catch weight. In Victoria in December, ganglioneuritis detected on two land-based (Portland and Port Fairy) and two offshore (Westernport) aquaculture sites.

2006	Fishery production was set at 2502.5 t (715 kg/unit) state-wide. Eastern Zone TACC remained 770 t (220 kg/unit) Western Zone TACC remained 1260 t (360 kg/unit) Northern Zone TACC remained 280 t (80 kg/unit) Greenlip TACC remained 122.5 t (35 kg/unit) Bass Strait Zone TACC remained 70 t (20 kg/unit) On 1 January 2006, interim reduction in LML for Perkins Bay greenlip area (Blocks 47, 48A), from 145 mm to 140 mm. On 20 September 2006, LML for Bass Strait Zone in Blocks 41-46 (North Coast) reduced from 114 mm to 110 mm. On 1 November 2006, LML for Eastern Zone was increased to 138 mm from 136 mm. LML for greenlip abalone in Perkins Bay was reduced to 132 mm from 140 mm. As a temporary measure to facilitate research, Block 30 was entirely closed to commercial abalone fishing and partially closed (except sub-block 30A) to recreational abalone fishing. The bag limit for recreational fishers in sub-block 30A reduced to 5 abalone per day. May 2006: Victorian ganglioneuritis (AVG) outbreaks reported from wild stocks adjacent to land-based aquaculture site at Port Faurey. As a precautionary measure, the Tasmanian wild fishery in Bass Strait closest to the Victorian coast was closed to abalone fishing, from 16 August 2006, initially for three months but then extended to 28 February 2007. The closure was for waters within latitudes 39°12' S and 39 °33' S, and longitudes 146 °to 147 °35' (Blocks 51 to 56, and part of Block 57, including Wright Rock and Endeavour Reef). The taking of abalone in Tasmanian waters from vessels used in the Victorian fishery was prohibited, and the transfer by sea of abalone from King Island to the Tasmanian mainland was prohibited.
2007	Fishery production was set at 2502.5 t (715 kg/unit) state-wide. Eastern Zone TACC remained 770 t (220 kg/unit) Western Zone TACC remained 1260 t (360 kg/unit) Northern Zone TACC remained 280 t (80 kg/unit) Greenlip TACC remained 122.5 t (35 kg/unit) Bass Strait Zone TACC remained 70 t (20 kg/unit) N.B. it was agreed that the Bass Strait component (70 t) would not be caught due to concerns about disease outbreaks (AVG) in abalone stocks in adjacent Victorian waters. In October 2007, it was agreed that the cap for the southern part of the Actaeons (Sub-blocks 13C, 13D and 13E) would be reduced from 350 t to 266 t, and that a cap of 245 t be implemented for the South Coast (Sub-blocks 12B, 12C, 12D, 13A and 13B).
2008	The total catch state-wide was set at 2,593.5 t, or 741 kg/unit. Eastern Zone TACC increased to 808.5 t (231 kg/unit) Western Zone TACC remained 1260 t (360 kg/unit) Northern Zone TACC increased to 332.5 t (95 kg/unit) Greenlip TACC remained 122.5 t (35 kg/unit) Bass Strait Zone TACC remained 70 t (20 kg/unit) As part of a controlled trial in the North West, size limits in Block 5 and part of Block 6 were reduced for divers meeting defined operating requirements on the basis that there were large stocks of abalone too small to catch at the larger size limit, and that removing these smaller abalone would promote growth among the remaining fish. The LML in the Northern Zone part of Block 5 (5A, 5B and 5C) was reduced from 132 mm to 127 mm, and in sub-blocks 5D, 6A, 6B and 6C, from 136 mm to 132 mm. To promote fishing in the Northern Zone part of Block 5, the cap was increased from 100 t to 152.5 t and the Northern Zone TACC increased to 332.5 t. The remainder of the Northern Zone was capped at 180 t. In Bass Strait, south of 39°33', the Bass Strait Zone was reopened to fishing on 1 January 2008. North of this line, all islands in the Bass Strait Zone remained closed to fishing as part of measures to reduce the spread of AVG from Victoria. The closed area included the Kent, Hogan and Curtis Groups. It was reopened to fishing on 6 July 2008. Fears of an outbreak of AVG resulted in the closure of the Lower Channel (sub-blocks 14A, 14B, 14C and 14D) to abalone fishing between 16 September 2008 and 12 March 2009. The area was reopened after extensive sampling and testing failed to find diseased abalone. Actaeons (Blocks 13C, 13D, 13E) closed to fishing for the remainder of the year from 21 October because the 266 t catch limit had been reached (340 t). South Coast closed to fishing on 29 October because the 245 t catch limit had been reached (332 t).

2009	The total catch state-wide was set at 2,604 t, or 744 kg/unit. Eastern Zone TACC increased to 850.5 t (243 kg/unit) Western Zone TACC reduced to 924 t (264 kg/unit) Central Western Zone TACC established at 304.5 t (87 kg/unit) Northern Zone TACC remained 332.5 t (95 kg/unit) Greenlip TACC remained 122.5 t (35 kg/unit) Bass Strait Zone TACC remained 70 t (20 kg/unit) A new zone was created on the west coast to transfer catch from the South West further north. The Central Western Zone covers Blocks 6, 7 and 8. The Western Zone was correspondingly reduced to Blocks 9, 10, 11, 12, 13A and 13B. Blocks 7 and 8 were closed to fishing on 13 July because the 108 t cap had been reached (155 t). The North West greenlip region (cap 30 t) was closed to fishing on 1 August after the 20 t Perkins Bay cap was reached (20.1 t). The region's catch was 33.9 t. The North East greenlip region was closed to fishing on 19 October because the 23 t cap had been reached (35 t). The Actaeons were closed to fishing on 1 November, because the 340 t cap had been reached (341 t). The South Coast (cap 300 t) was closed to fishing on 1 November with the catch at 321 t. The Block 5 (cap 152 t) was closed to fishing on 5 December with the catch at 172 t. Experimental fishing project with reduced size limits continued in Blocks 5 and 6, where the LML was reduced under permit from 132 mm to 127 mm (Block 5) and 136 mm to 132 mm (Block 6), provided GPS data loggers were used.
2010	The total catch state-wide was set at 2,660 t, or 760 kg/unit. Eastern Zone TACC increased to 896 t (256 kg/unit). Western Zone TACC remained 924 t (264 kg/unit). Central Western Zone TACC remained 304.5 t (87 kg/unit). Northern Zone TACC remained 332.5 t (95 kg/unit). Greenlip TACC increased to 133 t (38 kg/unit). Bass Strait Zone TACC remained 70 t (20 kg/unit). Experimental fishing project with reduced size limits continued in Blocks 5 and 6, where the LML was reduced under permit from 132 mm to 127 mm (Block 5) and 136 mm to 132 mm (Block 6), provided GPS data loggers were used. In September 2010, the size limit for greenlip caught between Andersons Bay (Block 41) and Cowrie Point (Block 46) was reduced from 145 mm to 132 mm, in line with Blocks 47 and 48A (Perkins Bay/Black Reef). The size limit for Eastern Zone blacklip caught in Block 31A north of Cod Bay and Georges Rocks (latitude 40°54'53"S) was reduced from 138 mm to 132 mm while fishing under permit. This was a temporary measure between July and October to encourage fishing there. Block 31A was closed to fishing on 4 October after 50 t of abalone had been caught, but was subsequently reopened in December 2010 (at 138 mm) to ease pressure across the remainder of the fishery. Furneaux Group blacklip closed 9 August, capped at 35 t (49 t caught). The Actaeons closed 13 September capped at 340 t cap (342 t). Block 22 closed 13 October when the 60 t cap was almost reached (55 t). It was reopened in December to ease pressure on the remainder of the fishery. Blocks 7, 8 and 6D closed 20 October capped at 150 t (171 t). North East greenlip closed 1 November, capped at 23 t (25 t). North West greenlip closed 13 November, capped at 18 t (23t). Perkins Bay greenlip closed 13 November, capped at 20 t (20t). All the Northern Zone except Block 5 closed 22 November capped at 180 t (191 t caught). South Coast closed 13 December capped at 300 t (311 t). King Island greenlip closed on 13 December, cap 30 t (32 t).

2011	The total catch state-wide was set at 2,565.5 t, or 733 kg/unit. Eastern Zone TACC decreased to 721 t (206 kg/unit). Western Zone TACC remained 924 t (264 kg/unit). Central Western Zone TACC remained 304.5 t (87 kg/unit). Northern Zone TACC increased to 402.5 t (115 kg/unit). Greenlip TACC increased to 143.5 t (41 kg/unit). Bass Strait Zone TACC remained 70 t (20 kg/unit). Experimental fishing project with reduced size limits continued in Blocks 5 and 6, where the LML was reduced under permit from 132 mm to 127 mm (Block 5) and 136 mm to 132 mm (Block 6), provided GPS data loggers were used. The remainder of the 40 units issued to the five Furneaux Group divers in 1990 were transferred back to the Government. The Eastern Zone was closed to fishing in all parts except Block 31 between 1 January and 31 March. Actaeons (Sub-blocks 13C, 13D and 13E) closed 29 October capped at 341 t (359 t caught). Lower Channel (sub-blocks 14A, 14B) closed 5 December cap 10 t (12.5 t caught). Block 22 closed 12 September, reopened 18 December cap 40 t (54 t caught). Blocks 23, 24 closed 12 November cap 50 t (54 t caught). Freycinet/Bicheno (Blocks 25-28, 29A) closed 5 December cap 40 t (47.5 t caught). Block 5 Northern Zone closed 29 August cap 142.5 t (155 t caught). Remainder NW Northern Zone (Blocks 47, 48, 49) closed 29 October cap 100 t (112 t caught). North East Northern Zone (Block 39, 40, 31B) closed 5 December cap 30 t (29 t caught). Granville Harbour/Sandy Cape (Blocks 7, 8, 6D) closed 23 May cap 160 t (159.5 t caught). Furneaux Group Bass Strait Zone closed 20 June cap 35 t (44 t caught). North West greenlip closed 29 October cap 18 t (21 t caught). Perkins Bay greenlip closed 1 October cap 20 t (21 t caught). North East greenlip closed 5 December cap 23 t (23.5 t caught). Furneaux Group greenlip closed 28 November cap 42 t (44.5 t caught). Telephone reporting requirements were suspended on 16 November when the company operating the call centre unexpectedly ceased trading. Following the discovery of AVG-affected greenlip in NSW in November 2011, all imports of live abalone into that state from Tasmania and Victoria have been subject to restrictions. This measure has since greatly reduced the size of the domestic live greenlip market causing a collapse in high-grade greenlip beach prices. LML in the Northern blacklip fishery in Blocks 47, 48 and 49 reduced from 127 mm to 125 mm, provided GPS loggers used.
2012	The total catch state-wide was set at 2,366 t, or 676 kg/unit. Eastern Zone TACC decreased to 549.5 t (157 kg/unit). Western Zone TACC remained 924 t (264 kg/unit). Central Western Zone TACC remained 304.5 t (87 kg/unit). Northern Zone TACC decreased to 378 t (108 kg/unit). Greenlip TACC decreased to 140 t (40 kg/unit). Bass Strait Zone TACC remained 70 t (20 kg/unit). No caps were implemented in the Eastern Zone. The Eastern Zone was closed to fishing in all parts except Block 31 between 1 January and 31 March. East Furneaux Bass Strait Zone (sub-blocks 33B, 33C, Blocks 36, 38) closed 13 August, cap 35 t (36.4 t caught). Eastern Zone sub-block 30A closed 13 August, cap 4 t (4.5 t caught). North East greenlip closed 27 August cap 23 t (32.7 t caught). North East Northern Zone closed 27 August cap 30 t (35 t caught). Granville Harbour/Sandy Cape (Blocks 7, 8, 6D) closed 15 October cap 154.5 t (174 t caught). Blocks 47, 48, 49 Northern Zone (Hunter & Three Hummock Islands) closed 15 October, cap 130 t (156 t caught). Sub-block 48A, Block 47 (Black Reef greenlip) closed 12 November cap 20 t (26 t caught). Remainder North West greenlip closed 19 November, cap 18 t (18.5 t caught). Telephone reporting requirements reinstated with a new operator on 27 February. GPS and depth loggers made mandatory throughout the fishery from 1 January 2012. LML at Block 49 (Hunter Island & Three Hummock Island but not Albatross Island) was reduced from 125 mm to 120 mm. The LML at Albatross Is. was increased to 127 mm from 125 mm.

2013	The total catch state-wide was set at 2,149 t, or 614 kg/unit. Eastern Zone TACC decreased to 528.5 t (151 kg/unit). Western Zone TACC increased to 1001 t (286 kg/unit). Central Western Zone TACC decreased to 101.5 t (29 kg/unit). Northern Zone TACC decreased to 308 t (88 kg/unit). Greenlip TACC remained 140 t (40 kg/unit). Bass Strait Zone TACC remained 70 t (20 kg/unit). The Central Western Zone/Western Zone boundary was moved north to the Wild Wave River between 6D and 6C, meaning that Blocks 7 and 8, and sub-block 6D reverted to the Western Zone, and that the Central Western Zone comprised 5D, 6A, 6B and 6C. The Eastern Zone was closed to fishing in all parts except Block 31 between 1 January and 31 March. North East greenlip closed 3 June, cap 23 t (24 t caught). Bass Strait Zone east coast Furneaux Group closed 19 August, cap 30 t (27 t caught). Annual catch from waters around the Freycinet Peninsula and northward, (including sub-blocks 26B, 26C, 26D, 27A, 27B, 27C, 27D, 27E, 28A & 28B) was capped at 5 t, and the LML increased to 145 mm, these measures to restore populations in the area. It was closed 26 August, 11 t caught. North West greenlip closed 23 September, cap 18.5 t (23 t caught). Block 30A blacklip closed 23 September, cap 4 t (4.5 t caught). North East blacklip closed 7 October, cap 30 t (32 t caught). Blocks 47, 48, 49 Northern Zone (Hunter & Three Hummock Islands) closed 15 October, cap 100 t (126 t caught). Blocks 5 closed 11 November, cap 60 t (60 t caught). Furneaux Group greenlip closed 25 November, cap 47 t (55 t caught). South West Western Zone closed 2 December, cap 405 t (528 t caught).
2014	The total catch state-wide was set at 1,932 t, or 552 kg/unit. Eastern Zone TACC remained 528.5 t (151 kg/unit). Western Zone TACC decreased to 840 t (240 kg/unit). Central Western Zone TACC decreased to 73.5 t (21 kg/unit). Northern Zone TACC decreased to 280 t (80 kg/unit). Greenlip TACC remained 140 t (40 kg/unit). Bass Strait Zone TACC remained 70 t (20 kg/unit). Number of Fishing Licenses (Abalone Dive) or FLAD, changed from 125 to 121. Catch from part of the Freycinet-Bicheno region (26B-28B) was capped at 10 t at LML 145 mm and closed to fishing on 4 June 2014. It was reopened again at 145 LML on 24 September to spread fishing effort, with a further 10 t cap. South West Western Zone closed 28 April, cap 350 t (287 t caught). South West Western Zone re-opened 26 November (108 t caught). North East greenlip closed 20 March, cap 25.5 t (21 t caught). North West greenlip closed 1 June 2014, cap 21 t (23 t caught). Sub-block 30A closed 1 June 2014, cap 4 t (4 t caught). East Furneaux Bass Strait Zone closed 30 June 2014, cap 30 t (37 t caught). Furneaux Group greenlip closed 23 July, cap 47 t (59 t caught). North East Northern Zone closed 23 July, cap 30 t (44 t caught). Remainder Furneaux Bass Strait Zone closed 8 August, no cap (6 t caught). Block 5 Northern Zone closed 12 November, cap 50 t (54 t caught).
2015	The total catch state-wide was set at 1,932 t, or 552 kg/unit. Eastern Zone TACC remained at 528.5 t (151 kg/unit). Western Zone TACC decreased to 840 t (240 kg/unit). Central Western Zone TACC decreased to 52.5 t (15 kg/unit). Northern Zone TACC decreased to 224 t (64 kg/unit). Greenlip TACC remained 140 t (40 kg/unit). Bass Strait Zone TACC remained at 70 t (20 kg/unit). Number of Fishing Licenses (Abalone Dive) or FLAD, changed from 125 to 121. There was a new sub-block introduced in the fishery in 2015 for around Albatross Island (49D). The LML of 145 mm for the Freycinet-Bicheno region (26B-28B) was retained for 2015. North-East greenlip closed 6 May, 25.5 t cap (30.6 t caught). North-East blacklip closed 10 May, 30 t cap (30.4 t caught). Furneaux Group blacklip closed 17 June, 30 t cap (44.1 t caught). Block 30A closed 24 June, 4 t cap (4.6 t caught). North-West greenlip (except Perkins Bay) closed 24 June, 21 t cap (21.6 t caught). Freycinet blacklip closed 15 July, 20 t cap (22.4 t caught). Albatross Island (49D) closed 5 August, 10 t cap (8 t caught). Central North blacklip closed 5 August, 5 t cap, reopened 7 December (6.5 t caught). Block 5 (5A-C) Northern Zone closed 3 September, 50 t cap (58.4 t caught). Blocks 14, 15, 16 blacklip (excl. 14B) closed 10 October, 50 t cap (51.4 t caught). Blocks 23 and 24 blacklip closed 4 November, (41.3 t caught).

2016	The total catch state-wide was set at 1,694 t, or 484 kg/unit. Eastern Zone TACC remained at 528.5 t (151 kg/unit). Western Zone TACC decreased to 717.5 t (205 kg/unit). Central Western Zone TACC decreased to 42 t (12 kg/unit). Northern Zone TACC decreased to 189 t (54 kg/unit). Greenlip TACC remained 140 t (40 kg/unit). Bass Strait Zone TACC increased to 77 t (22 kg/unit). Number of Fishing Licenses (Abalone Dive) or FLAD, changed from 125 to 121. The LML of 145 mm for the Freycinet-Bicheno region (26B-28B) was retained for 2016. Albatross Island (49D) closed 19 February, 10 t cap (10.8 t caught). North-east blacklip closed 15 June, 30 t cap (30.2 t caught). Furneaux Group blacklip closed 19 August, 30 t cap (45.7 t caught). North-west greenlip (except Perkins Bay) closed 31 August, 21 t cap (21.6 t caught). North-east greenlip closed 19 August, 21 t cap (34.5 t caught). King Island greenlip closed 14 September, 20 t cap (23.5 t caught). Block 5 blacklip closed 5 October, 50 t cap (53.2 t caught).
------	--

Appendix E

Maps of Reporting Blocks

It is not intended that these maps be used for any purpose other than identifying the position of sub-blocks mentioned in this report.

Figure E.1: Map of King Island blocks




Figure E.2: Map of North West blocks




Figure E.3: Map of Central West Coast (north) blocks



Figure E.4: Map of Central West Coast (south) blocks




Figure E.5: Map of South West blocks




Figure E.6: Map of South East Tasmania blocks




Figure E.7: Map of Lower East Coast blocks




Figure E.8: Map of Upper East Coast blocks




Figure E.9: Map of North East blocks




Figure E.10: *Map of Furneaux Group blocks*






Figure E.11: Map of Bass Strait Island blocks



Appendix F

Commercial size limits for blacklip and greenlip abalone, 2016

Commercial size limits and zones for blacklip abalone



Commercial size limits for greenlip abalone (*Haliotis laevis*)

All other waters 145mm

Extended Perkins Bay greenlip area
132mm

King Island

- All fish taken from King Island waters 150 mm or greater.

Perkins Bay to Anderson Bay

- A 132 mm size limit applies between Perkins Bay near Smithton and Anderson Bay near Bridport, including blocks 41 to 47 and sub block 48A.

All other waters

- A 145 mm size limit applies to all other state waters.



The Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies (IMAS), established in 2010, comprises the University of Tasmania's internationally recognised expertise across the full spectrum of temperate marine, Southern Ocean and Antarctic research and education.

IMAS – Hobart

20 Castray Esplanade
Battery Point TAS 7004
Telephone: +61 3 6226 6379

Postal address:

Private Bag 129, Hobart TAS 7001

IMAS – Taroona

Nubeena Crescent
Taroona Tasmania Australia
Telephone: +61 3 6227 7277

Postal address:

Private Bag 49, Hobart TAS 7001

IMAS – Launceston

Old School Road
Newnham Tasmania Australia
Telephone: +61 3 6324 3801

Postal address:

Private Bag 1370, Launceston TAS 7250

www.imas.utas.edu.au