

Optimising GA₃ to improve fruit firmness in sweet cherries

utas.edu.au/tia

Authors: Alieta Eyles, Rana Naveed, Dugald Close and Nigel Swarts



Improving sweet cherry firmness under netting and rain covers

Tasmanian sweet cherries are recognised internationally for their large size, firmness and eating quality. Firm fruit are particularly important for export markets, where cherries must maintain quality during storage and transport. Gibberellic acid (GA₃) is commonly applied in commercial orchards to improve firmness and fruit quality. However, there is still uncertainty around the optimal timing and application rate needed to consistently achieve the best outcomes under different growing conditions and protected cropping systems. This project, led by the Tasmanian Institute of Agriculture (TIA) in partnership with the cherry industry, and supported by Hort Innovation Frontiers with contributions from the Australian Government, is undertaking research to better understand how GA₃ affects fruit development and ripening in sweet cherry in both netting and rain cover systems.

Research questions: How high is too high?

The questions being asked for industry are:

- How does GA₃ improve fruit firmness in Lapins?
- Are different GA₃ programs required under rain covers to netting?
- How do high rates of GA₃ application at shuck fall or at green translucent stage influence firmness in Lapins.?
- What are the risks of using high rates of GA₃?
- Do higher rates increase susceptibility to cracking; and/or reduce return bud number or bud set (the following season)?

To understand the mechanistic level that may inform future industry decisions, the questions are:

- Does GA₃ delay changes in cell wall components including cellulose, hemicellulose and pectin?
- Does GA₃ alter hormone levels involved in fruit ripening?

Visit TIA's Cool
Climate Horticulture
program webpage:



KEY POINTS

- This project is investigating how GA₃ application timing and rate influence sweet cherry fruit quality under netting and rain covers.
- Meta-analysis of three years of field trials suggested an overall improvement of 20% in firmness relative to control with GA₃ application, and responses appear stronger in rain cover than netting system.
- However, return bloom can be reduced by up to 26% by high GA₃ applications (>100 ppm) especially if applied at shuckfall stage though yield was not assessed in this study.
- GA₃ applications can delay maturity: from 3 days for low rates (<50 ppm) and up to 14 days for higher rates (>100 ppm).
- Cracking incidence did not appear to increase with GA₃ application.



What have we learnt after 3 years of field trials?

Over the past three years (2023 to 2026), we have tested a range of GA₃ programs in commercial sweet cherry orchards. Each season has varied in weather conditions, orchard systems and trial designs. For example, Table 3. is the 2025/2026 trial design.

By combining the results across all trials, we can now identify some clearer trends in how GA₃ affects fruit firmness, maturity and other quality traits (Table 1).

Table 1. Effect of GA₃ treatments on mean firmness relative to control (no GA₃) based on three years of field trials

	Mean firmness increase relative to control*
Overall GA3 effect across all three seasons	20%
Training system:	
KGB	16.7%
V-trellis	27%
Timing:	
Shuckfall only	9%
Translucent green only	22%
Shuckfall and translucent green	24%
Cover system:	
Netting	18%
Rain cover	23%
GA3 category	
Low (<50 ppm)	18%
Medium (51–100 ppm)	15%
High (101–160 ppm)	25%

*Firmness measured by Firmtech. Values based on meta-analysis of three years of data and are % increase relative to control and averaged across all GA₃ treatments

For fruit quality, the meta-analysis indicated GA₃ improved firmness, fruit size, dry matter and stem pull, but effects on TSS, colour and cracking were weaker or more variable (Table 2).

Table 2. Effect of GA₃ treatments on fruit quality relative to control treatments (no GA₃) based on three years of field trials

Fruit quality	Approx. GA ₃ effect relative to control
TSS	10%
Dry matter	18%
Fresh weight	10%
Diameter	3-4%
Colour	0-1.9%

*Values based on meta-analysis of three years of data and are % increase relative to control and averaged across all GA₃ treatments

These trials confirmed that high applications of GA₃ can delay maturity by up to 14 days.

Table 3. Summary of GA₃ treatments for the 2025/2026 field trial*

Treatment	Timing of application	GA3 rate (ppm or g/ha)
Low applied at translucent stage	1 st : Translucent green	22 ppm or 50 g/ha
	2 nd : Translucent green (7-10 days later)	22 ppm or 50 g/ha
	2 applications	44 ppm or 100 g/ha
Medium applied at translucent stage	1 st : Translucent green	44 ppm or 100 g/ha
	2 nd : Translucent green (7-10 days later)	44 ppm or 100 g/ha
	2 applications	88 ppm or 200 g/ha
High applied at translucent stage	1 st : Translucent green	66 ppm or 150 g/ha
	2 nd : Translucent green (7-10 days later)	66 ppm or 150 g/ha
	2 applications	132 ppm or 300 g/ha
Medium applied at shuckfall	1 st : Applied at shuck-fall	44 ppm or 100 g/ha
	2 nd : Applied at Translucent green	44 ppm or 100 g/ha
		88 ppm or 200 g/ha
Control	2 applications of water	0 ppm or 0 g/ha

*Disclaimer: Please note the GA₃ concentrations tested in the field trials are not recommendations.

Any side effects of high GA₃ applications?

- The effect of high rates of GA₃ on cracking was variable but across the three seasons, cracking incidence was similar to the untreated controls.
- Analysis of two seasons of data suggest that return bloom can be significantly reduced (26%) when high rates of GA₃ (> 80 ppm) are applied at shuckfall stage, while there is a moderate reduction of up to 12% for low rates of GA₃ (30-44 ppm) applied at translucent stage..

Future work

- A PhD and Honours projects have commenced investigating how GA₃ influences fruit ripening, cell wall structure and hormone activity during fruit development. This will help explain why some GA₃ programs improve firmness more consistently than others.
- We will assess return bloom for the 2025/2026 season in September 2026.

Acknowledgements

This project is part of the *Sustainably growing horticulture value in cool climate Australia (AS20004)* program, funded through Hort Innovation Frontiers with contributions from the Australian Government, and co-investment from the Tasmanian Institute of Agriculture-University of Tasmania. It is supported by local industry partners Reids Fruit, Hansen Orchards, Wandin Valley, Stonecrest Cherries and Lucaston Park.

For more information please contact: Alieta.Eyles@utas.edu.au| utas.edu.au/tia

DISCLAIMER: While the Tasmanian Institute of Agriculture (TIA) takes reasonable steps to ensure that the information on its fact sheets is correct, it provides no warranty or guarantee that information is accurate, complete or up-to-date. TIA will not be liable for any loss, damage, cost or expense incurred or arising by reason of any person using or relying on the information contained in this publication. No person should act on the basis of the contents of this publication without first obtaining specific, independent, professional advice. TIA and contributors to this Fact Sheet may identify products by proprietary or trade names to help readers identify particular types of products. We do not endorse or recommend the products of any manufacturer referred to. Other products may perform as well or better than the products of the manufacturer referred to.

